

Reference: BN7359901

FOI 001/19/20 STATEMENT OF REASONS UNDER THE FREEDOM OF INFORMATION ACT

1. I refer to the application by under the *Freedom of Information Act* 1982 (FOI Act), for access to:

"... any documents or correspondence concerning Christopher Pyne and EY (the consulting firm) since 1 June 2019."

excluding personal email addresses, signatures, PMKeys numbers and mobile telephone numbers, contained in documents that fall within the scope of the FOI request. In addition, excluding duplicates of documents. Furthermore, Defence only considers final versions of documents.

FOI decision maker

2. I am the authorised officer pursuant to section 23 of the FOI Act to make a decision on this FOI request.

Documents identified

- 3. I identified four documents as matching the description of the request.
- 4. The decision in relation to each document is detailed in a schedule of documents.
- 5. I have added an FOI reference number and Item/Serial number to each of the documents, which corresponds with the schedule.

Decision

- 6. I have decided to:
 - a. release two documents in full; and
 - b. partially release two documents in accordance with section 22 [access to edited copies with exempt or irrelevant matter deleted] of the FOI Act, on the grounds that the deleted material is considered exempt under section 47F [public interest conditional exemptions-personal privacy] of the FOI Act.

Material taken into account

- 7. In making my decision, I had regard to:
 - a. the terms of the request;
 - b. the content of the identified documents in issue;
 - c. relevant provisions in the FOI Act;
 - d. the Guidelines published by the Office of the Australian Information Commissioner under section 93A of the FOI Act (the Guidelines); and
 - e. advice received from officers within the Department of Defence and third parties.

Reasons for decision

Section 47F – Personal Privacy

- 8. Upon examination of the documents, I identified information, specifically names and contact details of individuals other than the applicant. As a person's identity could be apparent or reasonably ascertainable from the identified information, I have decided that this information constitutes the definition of personal information.
- 9. When assessing whether the disclosure of personal information is unreasonable, I considered the following factors:
 - a. the extent to which the information is well known:
 - b. whether the person to whom the information relates is known to be (or to have been) associated with the matters dealt with in the documents;
 - c. the availability of the information from publicly accessible sources; and
 - d. the effect the release of the personal information could reasonably have on the individual.
- 10. Against the criteria, I found:
 - a. the specific personal information listed is not well known;
 - b. the individuals whose personal information is contained in the documents are not widely known to be associated with the matters dealt with in the documents; and
 - c. the information is not readily available from publicly accessible sources.
- 11. With reference to the above, I consider that the names and contact details of individuals other than the applicant would be an unreasonable disclosure of personal information and is conditionally exempt under section 47F(1) of the FOI Act.

Section 47F - Public interest considerations

- 12. Section 11A(5) provides that, if a document is conditionally exempt, it must be disclosed unless (in the circumstances) access to the document at that time would, on balance, be contrary to the public interest.
- 13. In determining whether to release the conditionally exempt material, I considered the Guidelines, together with a range of factors that favour access to a document set out in section 11B(3) [public interest exemptions-factors favouring access] of the FOI Act. I had regard to whether giving access to the applicant at this time would, on balance, be contrary to public interest. Specifically I considered if disclosure of the documents would:
 - a) promote the objects of the FOI Act;
 - b) inform debate on a matter of public importance; or
 - c) promote effective oversight of public expenditure.
- 14. I found that disclosure of this information would not increase public participation in the Defence process (section 3(2)(a) of the FOI Act), nor would it increase scrutiny or discussion of Defence activities (section 3(2)(b) of the FOI Act).

- Paragraph 6.22 of the Guidelines specifies a non-exhaustive list of public interest factors against disclosure. The factor I find particularly relevant to this request is that the release of this information could reasonably be expected to prejudice the protection of an individual's right to privacy.
- On balance, I consider the benefit to the public from disclosure is outweighed by the benefit to the public from withholding the information. In particular, I consider the release of the personal identification details could reasonably be expected to prejudice the right to privacy of a number of individuals. I consider that the public interest is better served in this case by maintaining the confidentiality of this information.
- It is for those reasons that I find that the public interest factors against disclosure outweigh the factors for disclosure and I deem the information exempt under section 47F of the FOI Act.
- None of the factors listed in section 11B(4) of the FOI Act were taken into account when making my decision.

joanne.groves

Digitally signed by joanne.groves

Date: 2019.08.30 11:00:25 +10'00'

Mrs Joanne Groves Accredited Decision Maker Associate Secretary Group