

From: s47E(c)

Sent: Wednesday, 6 June 2018 6:58 AM

To: s47E(c)

Cc: s47E(c)

Subject: RE: P-8 Capability Development [SEC=UNCLASSIFIED]

s47E(c) /Sir, thank you very much for the introduction. Assuming no objections, I'll step in to take over the meeting coordination task.

s47E(c) (and all),

Much appreciate your enthusiastic response and look forward to meeting, hopefully in a few weeks.

After several years of development, the Multi-Mission Pod (MMP) is s47G

Our intent for meeting is to share current development status & production plans, including s47G

as mentioned in s47E(c) note.

s22

Regards s47E(c)

s47E(c)
P-8 International Program Manager

MMP Program Manager

s22

From: s47E(c)

Sent: Sunday, June 03, 2018 4:00 PM

To: s47E(c)

Cc: s47E(c)

Subject: RE: P-8 Capability Development [SEC=UNCLASSIFIED]

UNCLASSIFIED

G'day s47E(c)

I would be very keen to meet with s47E(c)

21-22 Jun we are booked in for s22 so it might take some
juggling to coordinate a meeting. If s47E(c) is in s22
s22

Are you able to provide more detail re his itinerary when it is known?

Regards,

s47E(c)

Deputy Director Maritime Patrol & Response, AFHQ

s47E(c)

IMPORTANT: This email remains the property of the Department of Defence and is subject to the jurisdiction of section 70 of the Crimes Act 1914. If you have received this email in error, you are requested to contact the sender and delete the email.

From: s47E(c)

Sent: Saturday, 2 June 2018 6:35 AM

To: s47E(c)

Cc: s47E(c)

Subject: P-8 Capability Development [SEC=UNCLASSIFIED]

UNCLASSIFIED

Sir/ s47E(c),

Just dropping a quick introductory email for s47E(c) from Boeing Defense Space and Security (Cc'd). s47E(c) works out of Seattle, and is the P-8 International PM.

s47E(c) and I caught up with s47E(c) (and others) in Seattle last October, during which we received a brief on a versatile Mission Pod that Boeing was developing for international customers that might be of interest to Australia. I was on the phone today, and mentioned that you would probably be the best folks to talk to regarding what, if any, interest Australia might have in such a capability, and/or what capabilities Australia might like to see developed into such a pod. I mentioned our paradigm of USN commonality, and s47E(c) mentioned that it would not compromise USN hardware or software configuration commonality, and could potentially provide scope for additional Australian Industry Involvement (e.g. in integration).

s22

Regards,

s47E(c)

P-8A JPO LEAD – PMA290

s47E(c)

s47E(c) s22

IMPORTANT: This email remains the property of the Department of Defence and is subject to the jurisdiction of section 70 of the Crimes Act 1914. If you have received this email in error, you are requested to contact the sender and delete the email.

From: s47E(c)
To: s47E(c)
Subject: FW: Advanced Airborne Sensor (AAS) - SECNAV response 01 August 2018 [DLM=For Official Use Only]
Date: Sunday, 19 August 2018 5:36:23 PM
Attachments: s22

~~For Official Use Only~~

FYI

IMPORTANT: This email remains the property of the Department of Defence and is subject to the jurisdiction of section 70 of the Crimes Act 1914. If you have received this email in error, you are requested to contact the sender and delete the email.

From: Vanharen, Terry AIRCDRE 1
Sent: Friday, 17 August 2018 1:46 AM
To: Davies, Gavin AIRMSHL
Cc: Clements, Alan AVM; McDonald, Warren AVM; Turnbull, Gavin AVM; s47E(c); Chipman, Robert AIRCDRE; Gray, Michael AIRCDRE 2; Leavy, Peter CDRE; s47E(c)
Subject: RE: Advanced Airborne Sensor (AAS) - SECNAV response 01 August 2018 [DLM=For Official Use Only]

~~For Official Use Only~~

Sir/s, gents,

The Naval Attaché and I met with Director NIPO, RADML Morley, to discuss the AAS decision. We both have a good relationship with Director NIPO and I believe he was genuine with his response.

Bottom line is that the decision was made at the highest levels of USN and OSD and not taken lightly. s47E(d)

s22

Regards

Terry van Haren DSM
Air Commodore
Air Attaché
Embassy of Australia

s47E(c)

s47E(c)

s22

s47E(c)

IMPORTANT: This email remains the property of the Department of Defence and is subject to the jurisdiction of section 70 of the Crimes Act 1914. If you have received this email in error, you are requested to contact the sender and delete the email.

From: Davies, Gavin AIRMSHL
Sent: Thursday, 16 August 2018 12:05 AM
To: Turnbull, Gavin AVM
Cc: Vanharen, Terry AIRCDRE 1
Subject: RE: Advanced Airborne Sensor (AAS) - SECNAV response 01 August 2018 [SEC=UNCLASSIFIED]

UNCLASSIFIED

Gav/TVH,

s47E(d)

I will have a word to SEC and use his reply letter to articulate our way forward. Whatever you can uncover would be useful TVH.

Cheers

Leo

IMPORTANT: This email remains the property of the Department of Defence and is subject to the jurisdiction of section 70 of the Crimes Act 1914. If you have received this email in error, you are requested to contact the sender and delete the email.

From: Turnbull, Gavin AVM
Sent: Wednesday, 8 August 2018 10:23 AM
To: Davies, Gavin AIRMSHL
Subject: Fwd: Advanced Airborne Sensor (AAS) - SECNAV response 01 August 2018 [SEC=UNCLASSIFIED]

Sent from my iPhone

Begin forwarded message:

From: "Vanharen, Terry AIRCDRE 1" s47E(c) >
Date: 8 August 2018 at 1:31:22 am AEST
To: "Clements, Alan AVM" <s47E(c)>, "McDonald, Warren AVM" s47E(c), "Turnbull, Gavin AVM" s47E(c)
Cc: s47E(c)
"Chipman, Robert AIRCDRE" s47E(c) s47E(c)
Subject: FW: Advanced Airborne Sensor (AAS) - SECNAV response 01 August 2018 [SEC=UNCLASSIFIED]

UNCLASSIFIED

Sirs,

FYI - This letter has come from NIPO on the s47E(d) [redacted]
[redacted] and I will make an
appointment to go and see Director NIPO to discuss. s47E(d) [redacted]
[redacted]

I will advise on outcomes and suggest we don't react until we get some ground truth.

Regards

TVH

Terry van Haren DSM
Air Commodore
Air Attaché
Embassy of Australia
s47E(c) [redacted]

s47E(c) [redacted]

s22 [redacted]

s47E(c) [redacted]

IMPORTANT: This email remains the property of the Department of Defence and is subject to the jurisdiction of section 70 of the Crimes Act 1914. If you have received this email in error, you are requested to contact the sender and delete the email.

From: s47E(c) [redacted]
Sent: Tuesday, 7 August 2018 11:14 AM
To: Vanharen, Terry AIRCDRE 1
Cc: s47E(c) [redacted]
Subject: FW: Advanced Airborne Sensor (AAS) - SECNAV response 01 August 2018 [SEC=UNCLASSIFIED]

UNCLASSIFIED

Sir,

s47E(d) [redacted]
[redacted]

s47E(d) [redacted]
[redacted]
[redacted]

It would be useful to know if the attached decision is based on:

s47E(d)



Thanks

s47E(c)

s47E(c)

Air Staff Officer - Senior Engineer
Office of the Air Attaché
Australian Embassy - Washington

Department of Defence | Air Force

s47E(c)

s47E(c)

s22

IMPORTANT: This email remains the property of the Department of Defence and is subject to the jurisdiction of section 70 of the Crimes Act 1914. If you have received this email in error, you are requested to contact the sender and delete the email.

From: s47E(c)

Sent: Tuesday, 7 August 2018 10:29 AM

To: s47E(c)

Cc: s47E(c)

s47E(c)

Subject: Advanced Airborne Sensor (AAS) - SECNAV response 01 August 2018

Greetings, s47E(c),

For your awareness, s22

s22

AVM

McDonald inquired about the AAS previously and AIRCDRE Martin was also tracking during his tenure.

Vr/


s47E(c)

Country Program Director – Australia, Malaysia, New Zealand
Navy International Programs Office, IPO-270F

s47E(c)



s47E(c)

A grey rectangular redaction box covers the text 's47E(c)'. The box is positioned in the upper left quadrant of the page.

From: s47E(c)
To: s47E(c)
Cc: s47E(c)
Subject: Boeing Multi-Mission Pod meeting summary 10 Oct 18 [~~DLM-For Official Use Only~~]
Date: Thursday, 18 October 2018 5:09:00 PM

~~For Official Use Only~~

s47E(c)

A summary of the meeting held with s47E(c) and s47E(c) on 10 Oct 18 here at SRSP0:

- The pod is at the s47E(d) as at October 2018, likely to be s47E(d)
s47E(d)

- Boeing's motivation:
s47E(d)

In summary:
s47E(d)

Could you please discuss within AFHQ and advise whether there is a capability need for the pod at this time (including consideration of the utility that semi-integrated sensors would provide) or whether Air Force wish to defer involvement in this program until other aspects s47E(d) s47E(d) are further explored. Let me know if you need further info/clarification of the above.

Thanks

s47E(c) [REDACTED]

Project Manager
AIR7000 Phase 2B (P-8A Poseidon)
Surveillance & Response Systems Program Office

Department of Defence | Capability Acquisition and Sustainment Group

s47E(c) [REDACTED]

s47E(c) [REDACTED]

s22 [REDACTED]

IMPORTANT: This email remains the property of the Department of Defence and is subject to the jurisdiction of section 70 of the Crimes Act 1914. If you have received this email in error, you are requested to contact the sender and delete the email.

From: s47E(c)
To: s47E(c)
Subject: FW: MMP Meeting 25 Sept
Date: Friday, 27 September 2024 3:47:48 AM
Attachments: [image001.jpg](#)

Sirs,

As mentioned today, Boeing has been in contact about scheduling a meeting to discuss MMP. With the information and decisions made this week for s47E(d) I have s47E(d).

Regards

s47E(c)

Regards

s47E(c)

P8 JPO AUS DPM
CPP - Australian National / Foreign Military

s47E(c)

s22

s47E(c)



From: s47E(c)
Sent: Thursday, September 26, 2024 1:33 PM
To: s47E(c)

Subject: RE: MMP Meeting 25 Sept

Good Afternoon s47E(c)

The RAAF O06 visitors from SRSP0 and Air Force HQ spoke about MMP this week with their PMA-290 counterparts. With a much greater understanding of the SWaP-C to the MMP, and an understanding of USNs planned action going forward with the MMP, s47E(d)

Hence I suggest there is no need for a meeting with Boeing in early October to discuss MMP for RAAF.

I appreciate the reach out, and responsiveness by Boeing to the original request from s47E(c) s47E(c) through to s47E(c) (BDA) into The Boeing Company. I look forward to hopefully having more fruitful discussions around potential capabilities aligned with the USN P-8A roadmaps and delivering rapid capabilities to our warfighters.

Regards

s47E(c)

Regards

s47E(c)

P8 JPO AUS DPM
CPP - Australian National / Foreign Military

s47E(c)



From: s47E(c)

Sent: Friday, September 20, 2024 8:30 AM

To: s47E(c)

Cc: s47E(c)

Subject: RE: MMP Meeting 25 Sept

Good Morning s47E(c))

Appreciate the note and reaching out. With an extremely busy visit schedule and meetings next week for the RAAF visitors, accommodating a Boeing MMP briefing will not be possible for 9/25. Some discussions and agreements between RAAF and USN need to also take place prior.

When I spoke to s47E(c) I mentioned that we are better placed to aim for 2nd week of October for a virtual meeting with Boeing and the RAAF O6s.

What classification is required for the briefing ?

Regards

Regards

s47E(c)

P8 JPO AUS DPM
CPP - Australian National / Foreign Military

s47E(c)

s22

s47E(c)



From: s47E(c)

Sent: Thursday, September 19, 2024 5:36 PM

To: s47E(c)

Cc: s47E(c)

Subject: [Non-DoD Source] MMP Meeting 25 Sept

Sir,

I am the Program Manager for the next phase of Multi-Mission Pod. I was informed you and s47E(c) would like a briefing concerning the Multi-Mission Pod, where Boeing is headed with it and how we (RAAF and Boeing) could team up to increase capability for the greater P-8 Enterprise.

I was informed the time for desired for a briefing was Wednesday the 25th of September at 0745-0830 AST was the desired time?

Please let us know as we would love to talk with you about expanding the P-8 capability.

Ken

s47E(c)

Program Manager, AAS Sustainment & Multi Mission Pod (MMP)

s47E(c)

From: s47E(c)
To: s47E(c)
Cc: s47E(c)
Subject: RE: MMP Meeting 25 Sept [SEC=OFFICIAL]
Date: Thursday, 7 November 2024 11:40:34 AM
Attachments: [image001.jpg](#)
[image002.png](#)
[image003.jpg](#)

OFFICIAL

Hi s47E(c),

Copied the clarification of what you meant to say, which broadly makes sense. I guess I just didn't see the need to restate, again, a position I'd already stated in s47E(c) office and t s47E(c) via email.

If Boeing want to chat about future opportunities for RAAF P-8A, please point them in my direction. Happy to have the conversation with them; no requirement for a USN chaperone.

Regards,

s47E(c)

s47E(c)

Director ISR and EW
Air Force Headquarters

s47E(c)
cid:image002.png@01D5AC40.8212C410



IMPORTANT: This email remains the property of the Department of Defence. Unauthorised communication and dealing with the information in the email may be a serious criminal offence. If you have received this email in error, you are requested to contact the sender and delete the email immediately.

From: s47E(c)
Sent: Thursday, 7 November 2024 11:25 AM
To: s47E(c)
Cc: s47E(c)
s47E(c)
Subject: RE: MMP Meeting 25 Sept [SEC=OFFICIAL]

Hi Sir,

Apologies for the mis-interpretation of my words here and the need to word my comments better. I am not ignoring any comms from Boeing and would provide this to you if there is further reach out or information offered by Boeing on MMP that is releasable. I did also make clear to Boeing at the time over the phone, that at this time s47E(d), s47E(d). I hope you see in my emails below to Boeing, it is still encouraging of

further dialogue.

After the PMR, I was trying to invite myself and s47E(c) into an 'Operational Analysis' brief that Boeing were presenting to PMA-290 Special Missions on MMP. That team decided we couldn't attend, and I don't have awareness of what that brief was.

I understand your intent clearly regarding the possibility of receiving further briefs if offered by Boeing, and for s47E(c) awareness, would welcome Boeing's briefing on MMP use cases. Potential options could be a session at CAG/NARG next year, or aligned with AIA25 ?

Regards

s47E(c)

Regards

s47E(c)

P8 JPO AUS DPM
CPP - Australian National / Foreign Military

s47E(c)

[Redacted]



From: s47E(c)

Sent: Wednesday, November 6, 2024 6:32 PM

To: s47E(c)

Cc: s47E(c)

Subject: [Non-DoD Source] RE: MMP Meeting 25 Sept [SEC=OFFICIAL]

OFFICIAL

Hi s47E(c)

Just to reinforce what I said below, and on the Telecon.

s47E(d)

s47E(d)

Moreover, I have never been briefed by Boeing on the MMP. so if they want to pitch their product to me then I'm happy to hear it s47E(d)

s47E(d)

s47E(d)

s47E(d)

Am I missing something here?

Regards,

s47E(c)

s47E(c)

Director ISR and EW
Air Force Headquarters

s47E(c)

cid:image002.png@01D5AC40.8212C410



IMPORTANT: This email remains the property of the Department of Defence. Unauthorised communication and dealing with the information in the email may be a serious criminal offence. If you have received this email in error, you are requested to contact the sender and delete the email immediately.

From: s47E(c)

Sent: Wednesday, 23 October 2024 7:24 PM

To: s47E(c)

Cc: s47E(c)

Subject: RE: MMP Meeting 25 Sept [SEC=OFFICIAL]

OFFICIAL

Hi Gents,

As discussed in Pax, s47E(d)

s47E(d)

I'll happily listen to what they have to say.

Regards,

s47E(c)

s47E(c)

Director ISR and EW
Air Force Headquarters

s47E(c)

cid:image002.png@01D5AC40.8212C410

IMPORTANT: This email remains the property of the Department of Defence. Unauthorised communication and dealing with the information in the email may be a serious criminal offence. If you have received this email in error, you are requested to contact the sender and delete the email immediately.

From: s47E(c)

Sent: Friday, 27 September 2024 3:34 AM

To: s47E(c)

Subject: FW: MMP Meeting 25 Sept

Sirs,

As mentioned today, Boeing has been in contact about scheduling a meeting to discuss MMP. With the information and decisions made this week for s47E(d)

Regards

s47E(c)

Regards

s47E(c)

s47E(c)

P8 JPO AUS DPM

CPP - Australian National / Foreign Military

s47E(c)



From: s47E(c)

Sent: Thursday, September 26, 2024 1:33 PM

To: s47E(c)

Cc: s47E(c)

s47E(c)
[Redacted]
[Redacted]
[Redacted]
[Redacted]

Subject: RE: MMP Meeting 25 Sept

Good Afternoon s47E(c)
[Redacted]

The RAAF O06 visitors from SRSPO and Air Force HQ spoke about MMP this week with their PMA-290 counterparts. With a much greater understanding of the SWaP-C to the MMP, and an understanding of USNs planned action going forward with the MMP, s47E(d)
[Redacted]
[Redacted]

I appreciate the reach out, and responsiveness by Boeing to the original request from s47E(c) through to s47E(c) (BDA) into The Boeing Company. I look forward to hopefully having more fruitful discussions around potential capabilities aligned with the USN P-8A roadmaps and delivering rapid capabilities to our warfighters.

Regards

s47E(c)
[Redacted]

Regards

s47E(c)
[Redacted]
P8 JPO AUS DPM
CPP - Australian National / Foreign Military

s47E(c)
[Redacted]
[Redacted]



From: s47E(c)
Sent: Friday, September 20, 2024 8:30 AM
To: s47E(c)
Cc: s47E(c)
[Redacted]
[Redacted]
[Redacted]
[Redacted]
[Redacted]

Subject: RE: MMP Meeting 25 Sept

Good Morning s47E(c))

Appreciate the note and reaching out. With an extremely busy visit schedule and meetings next week for the RAAF visitors, accommodating a Boeing MMP briefing will not be possible for 9/25. Some discussions and agreements between RAAF and USN need to also take place prior.

When I spoke to s47E(c) I mentioned that we are better placed to aim for 2nd week of October for a virtual meeting with Boeing and the RAAF O6s.

What classification is required for the briefing ?

Regards

Regards

s47E(c)

P8 JPO AUS DPM
CPP - Australian National / Foreign Military

s47E(c)

s47E(c)



From: s47E(c)

Sent: Thursday, September 19, 2024 5:36 PM

To: s47E(c)

Cc: s47E(c)

Subject: [Non-DoD Source] MMP Meeting 25 Sept

Sir,

I am the Program Manager for the next phase of Multi-Mission Pod. I was informed you and s47E(c) would like a briefing concerning the Multi-Mission Pod, where Boeing is headed with it and how we (RAAF and Boeing) could team up to increase capability for the greater P-8 Enterprise.

I was informed the time for desired for a briefing was Wednesday the 25th of September at 0745-0830 AST was the desired time?

Please let us know as we would love to talk with you about expanding the P-8 capability.

s47E
(c)

s47E(c)

Program Manager, AAS Sustainment & Multi Mission Pod (MMP)

s47E(c)

From: s47E(c)
To: s47E(c)
Cc: s47E(c)
Subject: RE: MMP Meeting 25 Sept [SEC=OFFICIAL]
Date: Thursday, 3 October 2024 8:20:05 AM
Attachments: [image002.jpg](#)
[image003.png](#)
[image004.jpg](#)

OFFICIAL

Hi s47E(c)

Whilst I agree we are s47E(d) based on the discussions last week, I think it was s47E(d)

I suggest we say to s47E(c) that we are s47E(d) meet with Boeing to hear 'their pitch'. That way I can present to DGACC/HAC both the Boeing pitch and the PMA290 insight into the reality.

Regards,

s47E(c)
)

s47E(c)

Director ISR and EW
Air Force Headquarters

s47E(c)

cid:image002.png@01D5AC40.8212C410



IMPORTANT: This email remains the property of the Department of Defence. Unauthorised communication and dealing with the information in the email may be a serious criminal offence. If you have received this email in error, you are requested to contact the sender and delete the email immediately.

s47G