

HEADQUARTERS JOINT TASK FORCE 633 MIDDLE EAST AREA OF OPERATIONS \$33(a)(i), AUSTRALIAN DEFENCE FORCE, SYDNEY, NSW 2890

HQ JTF633/OUT/2012/2350
Afghanistan Independent Human Rights Commission s33(a)(iii)
Dear Sir/Madam
ALLEGATIONS MADE AGAINST THE AUSTRALIAN DEFENCE FORCE (ADF)
1. I refer to a meeting on 22 May 2012 between your representatives and representatives from Combined Team – Uruzgan (CT-U) and Provisional Reconstruction Team – Uruzgan (PRT-U). During that meeting you informed CT-U and PRT-U of a number of allegations made to you regarding the Australian Defence Force (ADF).
2. The ADF is proud of its record of upholding the laws of armed conflict. We plan and execute operations in a disciplined manner that complies with Australian legal obligations and respects fundamental human rights. All credible allegations, therefore, will be taken seriously and assessed.
s33(a)(i)s33(a)(i) and (iii)
3. An unidentified source alleges that on s47F was shot in the right leg while s47F was herding sheep and goats. The ADF has assessed this allegation and has determined that there was an ADF operation conducted in s33(a)(i) and (iii) on s33(a)(i) During that operation there was an Afghan s47F who was wounded. It is likely that the allegation refers to this operation. Further assessment has determined that:
a. s47F was armed with an AK-47 and was posing a threat to ADF forces.
b. Medical care was provided at an ISAF medical facility.
c. The use of force against s47F was necessary and lawful.
4. The ADF now considers this matter closed.
s33(a)(i) _ s33(a)(i) and (iii) (Allegation 1)
5. s47F alleges that on s33(a)(i) , while in s47F house with hands up, a coalition soldier hit s47F with a rifle, causing cuts to s47F forehead, back, arms and hips. It is also alleged that s47F was bitten by a dog. The ADF has assessed the allegation and has determined that there was an ADF operation conducted in s33(a)(i) and (iii) on s33(a)(i)

s33(a)(i) During that operation there was a s47F wounded by a military working dog. It is likely that the allegation refers to this operation. Further assessment has determined that:

- a. s47F was not in s47F house when the military working dog engaged s47F; s47F was running through the fields and compounds.
- b. ADF forces called to \$47F in Pashtu several times to stop, but \$47F did not.
- c. s47F was not hit with a rifle or beaten in any manner.
- d. The ADF provided \$47F with medical care and AFN 2 000 to cover any further medical treatment.
- The use of force against \$47F was necessary and lawful.
- 6. The ADF now considers this matter closed.

s33(a)(i) _s33(a)(i) and (iii) (Allegation 2)

- 7. An unknown source alleged that \$47F was hiding in a wheat field when dogs found \$47F and attacked \$47F. It is also alleged that while \$47F was in the act of surrendering, \$47F was attacked by coalition soldiers with knives, and then shot. The ADF has assessed the allegation and has determined that there was an ADF operation conducted in \$33(a)(i) and (iii) on \$33(a)(i) During that operation there was an Afghan \$47F who was shot and killed. It is likely that the allegation refers to this operation. Further assessment has determined that:
 - a. s47F was armed with an AK-47 and was posing a threat to ADF forces.
 - b. No military working dogs were released upon \$47F and safe was not stabbed.
 - c. The use of force against \$47F was necessary and lawful.
- 8. The ADF now considers this matter closed.
- 9. The ADF is still in the process of assessing the allegations concerning events of s33(a)(i) in . We may require further information as part of our assessment; if so, any assistance you can provide would be greatly appreciated.

Yours faithfully
s22

C.J. Sawade, CSC
Air Commodore
Deputy Commander Joint Task Force 633

/4 August 2012



HEADQUARTERS JOINT TASK FORCE 633

Middle East Area of Operations

s33(a)(i) Australian Defence Force, SYDNEY NSW 2890

HQ JTF633/OUT/2013/10	65
247E	

47F
47F
Afghanistan Independent Human Rights Commission (AIHRC) 33(a)(iii)
Dear s47F

ALLEGATION MADE AGAINST THE AUSTRALIAN DEFENCE FORCE

1. I refer to your email to s47E(d)	on 17 January 2013 in which you rela-	yed
an allegation that on \$33(a)(i), during a join	nt s33(a)(i) and (iii) operation in s33(a)(i) and	l (iii)
s33(a)(i) and (iii) _, ^{s33(a)(i) and (iii}) s33(a)(I) and (iii) a	ssaulted a civilian named s47F	and
killed another civilian named 5411		

- I can assure you that Australia takes such allegations extremely seriously and an internal assessment of Australian missions and activities for the relevant area and period has been conducted.
- 3. I can advise you that the allegation correlates with a combined \$33(a)(i) and (iii) mission conducted on \$33(a)(i) . During this mission, a \$47F named \$47F was detained and subsequently released on \$33(a)(i) . Our evidence is wholly inconsistent with an allegation of assault against this individual, and our records indicate that at time of release, \$47F was satisfied with the treatmen
- 4. I can also advise you that s47F was engaging in a hostile act and was targeted in accordance with the Laws of Armed Conflict. The claim that this individual was a civilian is not supported by our intelligence and operational reporting of the incident.
- 5. Based on the information you have provided, I consider these claims to be unsubstantiated and that no further investigation is warranted into this matter. I now consider the matter closed.
- 6. My point of contact on this issue remains \$47E(d)

M.P. Crane, DSC, AM Major General Commander Joint Task Force 633

Tel: s47E(d)

April 2013



HEADQUARTERS JOINT TASK FORCE 633

Middle East Area of Operations

s33(a)(i), Australian Defence Force, SYDNEY NSW 2890

HQ JTF633/OUT/	2013/1318
s47F s47F	
Afghanistan Indep s33(a)(iii)	endent Human Rights Commission (AIHRC)
Dear s47F	,

ALLEGATION MADE AGAINST THE AUSTRALIAN DEFENCE FORCE

1. I refer to your meeting with \$47E(d)	on 09 April 2013 in which you
raised two allegations against the Australian Defence Force	e (ADF). In raising Allegation One
you claimed that on 05 April 2013 a \$47F	was shot and killed during a
joint operation in the vicinity of \$33(a)(i) and (iii)	Allegation Two claims that on
s33(a)(i) , a s4/F ferrying s4/F on s4/F motorcycle	was engaged by s33(a)(i) and (iii)
shooting the \$47F in the right arm and killing the \$47F	rider.

- 2. I can assure you that Australia takes such allegations extremely seriously and comprehensive assessments of Australian missions and activities for the relevant areas and periods have been conducted by the Australian National Headquarters.
- 3. In relation to Allegation One, our investigation concluded that no Australian Forces were in the vicinity of on or around s33(a)(i) . I am of the view that the incident referred to in Allegation One is not attributable to any ADF activity.
- 4. In relation to Allegation Two, investigations concluded that the incident is related to a partnered ADF operation. The s47F were engaged by ADF members, whose actions were consistent with the Laws of Armed Conflict. The s47F was observed immediately following the engagement and during an ADF facilitated evacuation, displaying no signs of injury as claimed. An adequate payment was made by the ADF to compensate for any medical expenses and a meeting was held with the Provincial Chief of Police, who also made a payment to the family. In raising this allegation the AIHRC has not presented any new evidence that is sufficient to call into question previous analysis of this incident.
- 5. I consider these allegations to be unsubstantiated and that no further investigation is warranted. I now consider both matters to be closed.
- 6. My point of contact on this issue remains \$47E(d)

M.P. Crane, DSC, AM

Major General Commander Joint Task Force 633

Tel: s47E(d)



HEADQUARTERS JOINT TASK FORCE 633

Middle East Area of Operations

s33(a)(i), Australian Defence Force, SYDNEY NSW 2890

HQ JTF633/OUT/2013/1983	
47F	
47F	
Afghan Independent Human Rights Commission (AIHR	C)
33(a)(iii)	
Dear s47F	

was hit on the head or \$47F

ALLEGATION MADE AGAINST THE AUSTRALIAN DEFENCE FORCE

1. I refer to your meeting with \$47E(d)	, the Combined Team-Uruzgan s47E(d)		
Officer, on 01 April 2012 in which you raised four alleg			
Force (ADF). An investigation into Allegations Or	ne, Three and Four has now been		
completed. Allegation Two made at this meeting relatin	ig to a s47F being shot by		
s33(a)(i) and (iii) , has been investigated and separate of	correspondence has been delivered to		
you detailing the closure of this allegation.			
2. The investigation into Allegation One, found that	was killed by Australian		
Forces in accordance with the Laws of Armed Confli-	ct, as was the destruction of the two		
motorcycles and other property damage. There was no	evidence found to support the claim		

3. Allegation Three, found that Australian Forces were operating in the area at the time stated, however there is no evidence to support this allegation made by sa3f(a)(i) and (iii) that

had dogs set upon s47F by Australian Forces.

- 4. Allegation Four, that s47F was bitten by dogs and stood on by s33(a)(i) and (iii) has been found to be unsubstantiated. There were no ADF s33(a)(i) and (iii) operating in the area at the time alleged.
- 5. Based upon the evidence that you presented I am confident that the allegations made against Australian Forces either align with legitimate actions to target insurgents and their networks, or are unconnected with the activities of Australian Forces. I now consider these matters closed.
- 6. My point of contact on this issue is \$47E(d) , Combined Team-Uruzgan Officer, who can be contacted on \$47E(d) .

M.P. Crane, DSC, AM Major General Commander Joint Task Force 633

Tel: s47E(d)

that s47F

August 2013



HEADQUARTERS JOINT TASK FORCE 633 MIDDLE EAST AREA OF OPERATIONS

s33(a)(i) AUSTRALIAN DEFENCE FORCE, SYDNEY, NSW 2890

HQ JTF633/OUT/2013/2660

s47F	
Afghan International	Human Rights Committee
Dear s47F	

ALLEGATION MADE AGAINST THE AUSTRALIAN DEFENCE FORCE

- 1. I refer to your conversation with \$47E(d) on 29 June 2013, in which you relayed an allegation referred to as AIHRC 17. This claim states that, on or about \$33(a)(i) and (iii) killed \$47F in the village of \$33(a)(i) and (iii) .
- 2. I can assure you that Australia takes such an allegation seriously and that an internal assessment of the Australian missions and activities relevant to the date and location has been conducted.
- 3. I can advise you that this claim correlates with a combined conducted in the vicinity of s33(a)(i) and (iii) on s33(a)(i) and (iii) engaged an insurgent, resulting in s4/F death. The insurgent was observed to exit from a compound, occupied by a known facilitator of lethal aid. The insurgent then took a direct part in hostilities by moving tactically within a walled orchard, armed with a rifle and loaded magazine, in proximity to s33(a)(i) and (iii)
- 4. The individual was engaged in accordance with the Laws of Armed Conflict. The killed was probably \$47F, a known insurgent supporter.
- 5. Based on the information you have provided, I consider this claim to be unsubstantiated and no further investigation is warranted into this matter. I now consider AIHRC 17 closed. My point of contact on this issue is the Combined Team Uruzgan s47E(d) Officer, s47E(d)

Yours faithfully

M.P. CRANE

Major General Commander Joint Task Force 633



HEADQUARTERS JOINT TASK FORCE 633 MIDDLE EAST AREA OF OPERATIONS

AUSTRALIAN DEFENCE FORCE, SYDNEY, NSW 2890

HQ JTF633/OUT/2013/0181

s33(a)(i)

Afghanistan Independer s33(a)(iii)	t Human Rights Commission (^{\$33(a)(iii)})
s33(a)(iii)	
ATT ^{S41} F	
Dear s47F	

ALLEGATION MADE AGAINST THE AUSTRALIAN DEFENCE FORCE

- 1. I refer to a meeting on 05 December 2012 between yourself and representatives from Combined Team Uruzgan (CT-U). During that meeting you informed CT-U of an allegation made to you regarding the Australian Defence Force (ADF).
- 2. The ADF is proud of its record of upholding the laws of armed conflict. We plan and execute operations in a disciplined manner that complies with Australian legal obligations and respects fundamental human rights. All credible allegations, therefore, will be taken seriously.
- 3. The complainant was identified as the \$47F of the \$47F was making the complaint as their \$47F had already died. The complainant alleged that at approximately 4.00am on the morning of \$33(a)(i) and (iii) had launched an attack whereby four villagers were killed and four other \$47F were arrested and taken by the The complainant alleged that the dead villagers and the detained persons were innocent and should not have been killed/captured.
- 4. A comprehensive operational assessment has been conducted and analysis indicates that an operation was conducted in the area at the time specified. However, the deaths and detention were legally justified and there is no substantive evidence to support the allegations and no similar supporting allegations have been proffered. I am therefore satisfied that no further investigation or inquiry is warranted into this matter.
- I consider this matter closed.

Yours faithfully

M.P. CRANE Major General Commander Joint Task Force 633



HEADQUARTERS JOINT TASK FORCE 633 MIDDLE EAST AREA OF OPERATIONS

s33(a)(i), AUSTRALIAN DEFENCE FORCE, SYDNEY, NSW 2890

HO	JTF	633/	OU	T/20	012	/3872

s47F	
Afghan Indepe	endent Human Rights Committee
Dear s47F	,

OPERATIONAL ALLEGATIONS PASSED TO COMBINED TEAM URUZGAN ON 06 NOVEMBER 2012

- 1. I refer to your meeting at Provincial Reconstruction Team House with s47E(d) on 06 November 2012 in which you relayed three allegations.
- 2. The allegations you raised were:

 - b. Allegation two: on s33(a)(i) , in the vicinity of s33(a)(i) and (iii) during a search operation s47F

 was shot dead and that became paralysed; and same paralysed; and same paralysed; same same paralysed; same paralysed;
- I can assure you that Australia takes such allegations extremely seriously and an internal
 assessment of Australian missions and activities for the relevant dates and locations has been
 conducted.
- 4. I can advise that, with regard to the first two allegations, Australian forces did not undertake any operations correlating in time or location as detailed above. With regard to the third allegation, while Australian forces did conduct a mission in the area on \$\frac{\$\sigma3(a)(i)}{\sigma}\$, no innocent local nationals were killed (the persons killed were insurgents), nor was any money taken. I am of the view that all three allegations are unsubstantiated and no further action shall be taken in relation to these allegations. I now consider them closed.

5. My point of contact in this matter is my s47E(d) Officer, s47E(d) , who you can contact on s47E(d) or alternatively, you may wish to continue dealing with s47E(d)

Yours faithfully

M.P. CRANE Major General Commander Joint Task Force 633