


DE-CLASSIFIED

~~SECRET AUSTRALIA SENSITIVE~~

Smith/2010/024637
DEPSEC S(S)/OUT/2010/134



MINISTERIAL SUBMISSION

Priority

Date Dept Approved: 3 DEC 2010 | Date Rec in Office: | Date Due:

For Action: Minister for Defence

Copies to: Secretary, CDF, VCDF, CN, CA, CAF, CJOPS, DEPSEC S, DEPSEC S (O), DEPSEC I&S, HMSC, FASIP, DDIO, FASMS/A, FASSIP

Subject: Departmental Investigation into WikiLeaks Publication of Material on Iraq

Purpose:

To advise you of the outcomes of the Defence Task Force's examination of leaked US classified material regarding the war in Iraq published by the WikiLeaks organisation in late October 2010.

Key Points:

1. On 23 October, the WikiLeaks website published 409,000 classified US documents on the Iraq war (although the official number was later revised by Wikileaks as totalling 391,832 documents) dating from 2004 to 2009. You requested the Defence Task Force that considered the Afghanistan WikiLeaks material to also examine the Iraq material to determine if there had been any harm to Australian interests. The Secretary and CDF tasked Deputy Secretary Strategy, Peter Jennings, to initiate this process and report back. The Defence search of the Iraq documents from 23 October is complete and the findings, and remedial action, are attached to this submission (Attachment B).
 2. The key findings of the Task Force are:
 - (a) the pattern of reporting in the signals is consistent with our standard classified reporting of operations and incidents during the conflict;
 - (b) the publicly available (redacted) and un-redacted Iraq material in WikiLeaks' possession does not contain any information that is gravely damaging to Australia's interests or national security – [REDACTED] s33(a)(ii)
 - (c) Defence and DFAT are considering steps to [REDACTED] s33(a)(ii)
 - (d) the content published by WikiLeaks does not reveal any significant details about operational incidents involving Australians beyond what has already been publicly released; and
 - (e) there is substantial information regarding known civilian casualty incidents, consular incidents, ADF operations and tactics, techniques and procedures. [REDACTED] s33(a)(ii)
- We note that media reporting on this tranche of leaked material has ceased. The release of this material is regrettable, however the consequences for Australia are minimal because our involvement in Iraq has largely ceased.

~~SECRET AUSTRALIA SENSITIVE~~

DE-CLASSIFIED

DE-CLASSIFIED

~~SECRET AUSTRO SENSITIVE~~

Smith/2010/
DEPSEC S(S)/OUT/2010/137


Recommendation:

That you:

- i. Note / Please Discuss that Defence has completed its Task Force examination of the 409,000 Iraq documents published in redacted form by WikiLeaks on date 23 October 2010.
- ii. Note / Please Discuss that no material was identified that poses a direct threat to ADF or Australian Government personnel currently serving in the Middle East area of operations.
- iii. Note / Please Discuss the attached findings of the Defence Iraq Wikileaks Task Force, which list sensitive subjects contained in the WikiLeaks documents, relevant consultation and steps taken to mitigate risks arising from publication of the material.
- iv. Note / Please Discuss [REDACTED] s33(a)(iii)
s33(b)
- v. Agree / Not Agree to the release of the draft media statement on the findings of the Defence Iraq Wikileaks Task Force at Attachment F. *See below*

J 06/12/10

Approved By:

| | |
|--|--|
| <p>Peter Jennings DEPSEC S W: 6265 2848 M: [REDACTED] 3 December 2010</p> |  |
|--|--|

s47F

Contact Officer Name: Lucinda Watson **Phone:** 6265 5580

Primary Addressee

I will announce (v). discuss my office re content and timing (Ms Browning)

J
Stephen Smith
6/12/2010

Resources:

3. N/A.

Consultation:

4. Defence: Headquarters Joint Operations Command, Military Strategy Commitments, Defence Intelligence Organisation, Counter IED Task Force, Public Affairs.
5. Other Government Agencies: Department of Foreign Affairs and Trade (Director Iraq and Gulf States Section), AusAID (Program Manager - Iraq).
6. US Government: Information Review Task Force

Conflict of Interest:

7. N/A.

~~SECRET AUSTRO SENSITIVE~~

DE-CLASSIFIED

DLO note:

I will announce. Liaise with my office re: content and timing with (Ms Browning)

DE-CLASSIFIED

FOI 321/11/12
Document 2

~~SECRET AUSTHO SENSITIVE~~

Smith/2010/
DEPSEC S(S)/OUT/2010/137

Attachments:

- A. Background
- B. Findings of the Defence Iraq WikiLeaks Task Force
- C. Task Force Search Methodology
- D. Summary of previous Defence reviews on Iraq and related subjects
- E. Iraq WikiLeaks DIO Threat Assessment of 29 October 2010
- F. Draft announcement of findings from Defence Iraq WikiLeaks Task Force

~~SECRET AUSTHO SENSITIVE~~

DE-CLASSIFIED

DE-CLASSIFIED

~~SECRET - UNCLASSIFIED SENSITIVE~~

Attachment A: Background

Summary of Process

1. The Task Force, run from International Policy Division (IP Div), included support from Intelligence and Security Group agencies, Military Strategic Commitments, Joint Operations Command, the Counter-IED Task Force and Public Affairs. IP Div consulted across Government with the Department of Foreign Affairs and Trade (DFAT) and AusAID (though no AusAID-related material was found). Defence is also cooperating with the Australian Federal Police who are considering whether any offences may have been committed under Australian domestic law by WikiLeaks. Defence cooperated closely with US authorities examining the leaked Iraq material.

2. The focus of the Task Force was on interrogating the [REDACTED]

Those documents were then manually reviewed and assessed. A list of key search terms and the methodology used to interrogate both the redacted and un-redacted data is provided at Attachment C.

s33(a)(ii)
s33(b)

Previous Defence reviews on sensitive material related to Iraq

3. The Task Force also drew on the findings of previous inquiries and reviews conducted by Defence into the war in Iraq. In 2004 and 2009, Defence conducted two independent internal reviews regarding Defence's involvement with detainee issues arising from Coalition operations in Iraq (the Abu Ghraib scandal) and then subsequently US images related to detainee abuse in Iraq and Afghanistan. Exhaustive searches of hard copy and electronic files were conducted. The outcomes of the first review were reported to the Senate in June 2004 and the second review found no instances of ADF involvement in detainee abuse, nor did they uncover any instances of Australian personnel being aware of the possible mistreatment of detainees where that knowledge was not properly reported. A summary of the outcomes of the two reviews and several other related inquiries is provided at Attachment D. These findings are borne out by the absence of any data in the WikiLeaks release that link Australians to abuse allegations.

Intelligence aspects

4. [REDACTED]

s7

Further publication of classified US documents by Wikileaks

5. WikiLeaks has stated that it intends to publish a further 251,287 cables and 8000 diplomatic notes in staged releases commencing in December. On 29 November, the first 219 diplomatic cables were released by WikiLeaks on its website.

[REDACTED] A separate whole-of-

s33(b)

~~SECRET - UNCLASSIFIED SENSITIVE~~
DE-CLASSIFIED

DE-CLASSIFIED

~~SECRET AUGUSTO SENSITIVE~~

government process has been established to respond to this latest WikiLeaks release (Attachment F):

Announcement of Defence findings on WikiLeaks Iraq material

6. Defence has prepared draft points to form the basis of an announcement of the findings of the Defence examination of the WikiLeaks Iraq material published in October 2010 (Attachment F). The points reiterate the risks inherent in the publication of classified material; the key finding that the material does not contain anything that would pose a direct threat to Australian personnel serving in the Middle East nor a grave danger to Australian interests; and, that any further WikiLeaks releases will be examined by Australian Government agencies at the time to determine whether they compromise Australian national interests.

~~SECRET AUGUSTO SENSITIVE~~

DE-CLASSIFIED

DE-CLASSIFIED

~~SECRET - AUSTEO~~

Attachment B: Key Findings of the Iraq WikiLeaks Task Force

Key findings

1. The key findings of the Task Force are:

(a) the pattern of reporting in the signals is consistent with standard classified reporting of operations and incidents during a conflict;

(b) [REDACTED]

s33(a)(ii)
s33(b)

(c) [REDACTED]

s33(a)(ii)

(d) the content published by WikiLeaks does not reveal any significant details about operational incidents involving Australians beyond what has already been publicly released; and

(e) there is substantial information regarding known civilian casualty incidents, consular incidents, [REDACTED]

s33(a)(ii)

The release of this material is regrettable, however the consequences for Australia are minimal because our involvement in Iraq has largely ceased.

Threat to Australian Personnel

2. [REDACTED]

s7

We will continue to monitor this situation through our DA and advise you of any developments. Clearly this is not a matter on which public comment should be made to avoid drawing any attention to the matter.

3. [REDACTED]

s33(b)

The Defence response to this manifestation of the threat from the WikiLeaks publications is addressed in the

~~SECRET - AUSTEO~~

DE-CLASSIFIED

DE-CLASSIFIED

~~SECRET AUSTEO~~

sections on ADF operations and Counter-Improvised Explosive Device (CIED) issues below.

Sensitive Issues (coalition issues, civilian casualties, human rights abuse)

4. There are a number of sensitive issues involving Australia that are contained in the WikiLeaks Iraq documents. These issues include:

- a) Details of a known incident in 2007 in which the ADF security detachment and US personnel killed a US citizen working as a contractor in Iraq (Mr Hector Patino).
- b) [REDACTED] report that wrongly attributes to Australian Special Forces personnel an escalation of force incident in 2006 in which a local national was wounded and killed. [REDACTED]
[REDACTED] detailed check of our records did not identify this incident and we suspect the report at the time may be in error.
- c) Details of a known incident in 2005 in which the ADF security detachment wounded an Iraqi civilian. The civilian is thought to have died of his wounds in hospital. An internal review was conducted at the time, and the incident was placed on the public record.
- d) An incident in 2005 where Australian forces reported a US convoy firing indiscriminately. JOC inquiries at the time revealed that no casualties were reported following the incident.
- e) An incident in 2005 in which the Australian Commanding Officer of the Al Muthanna Task Group was shown a possible mass grave site, suspected to contain up to 300 Iraqis from killings by the Police and Army in 1985. As the incident relates to atrocities likely committed under the regime of Saddam Hussein, there is no temporal link to Operation Iraqi Freedom, but the report is noteworthy and potentially of media interest.

s33(a)(iii)

s33(a)(iii)

Detainees and Abu Ghraib

5. There are a number of documents in the release which refer to incidents of detainee abuse or mistreatment at the Abu Ghraib prison facility. There is no mention of any Australian involvement in these cases; however the operational reporting at the time (2006) was releasable to the Multinational Coalition Forces Iraq (MCFI), of which Australia was a member. However, releasability in and of itself does not establish if the reports were distributed to Australian personnel, or if Australian personnel were aware of their contents. Defence's knowledge of events at Abu Ghraib gaol and detainee abuse more broadly have already been put on the public record by the then Minister of Defence in a statement to Parliament on 16 June 2004. The WikiLeaks reports do not change the advice provided in 2004.

6. The Task Force also searched for potential knowledge of detainee abuse in the Abu Ghraib prison which could be linked to Australia, including the 2003 International Commission of the Red Cross (ICRC) draft working paper. No reference to the ICRC paper was found.

~~SECRET AUSTEO~~

DE-CLASSIFIED

DE-CLASSIFIED

~~SECRET AUSTRALIA~~

Counter – Improvised Explosive Device (CIED) information

7. The Defence CIED Task Force (CIED-TF) found no documents of concern relating to Explosive Ordnance Disposal or [REDACTED]

s33(a)(ii)

8. [REDACTED]

s33(a)(ii)

Defence Capabilities, Tactics, Techniques and Procedures and Defence identities

9. The WikiLeaks' document release reveals coalition tactics, techniques and procedures (TTPs) [REDACTED]. Joint Operations Command has confirmed that, while the release of these TTPs is regrettable, the information contained in the documents does not pose a threat to ADF troops currently deployed on operations. [REDACTED]

s33(a)(ii)

s7

10. The Task Force reviewed the documents for any reference to ADF personnel stationed in Iraq, including former Commanders of Joint Task Force 633, previous Defence Attachés and embedded legal officers in the Coalition Provisional Authority. No reference to these individuals was found. The Task Force also reviewed the documents for any reference to known Australian individuals involved in sensitive incidents in Iraq, including 'Hicks', 'Habib' and 'Koveo'. No reference to these individuals or the incidents surrounding them was found.

Consular Issues

11. The documents identified a number of consular incidents, including the kidnap of Australian nationals and potential involvement by Australian citizens with the Anti-Iraqi Forces (insurgents).

12. [REDACTED]

s33(a)(iii)

~~SECRET AUSTRALIA~~

DE-CLASSIFIED

DE-CLASSIFIED

FOI 321/11/12
Document 2

~~SECRET AUSTEO~~

Spurious or misleading references to Australia. Events of unknown provenance

13. The WikiLeaks documents include hundreds of documents that contain erroneous reference to Australia. These include:

- a) US reporting which incorrectly references Australia instead of Austria, particularly when referring to caches of ordnance in Iraq.
- b) Use of the terms AD/CO. This was a frequent reference, but was a coalition call-sign rather than any reference to the Australian Defence Force (ADF).

Defence Iraq WikiLeaks Task Force

November 2010

~~SECRET AUSTEO~~

DE-CLASSIFIED


DE-CLASSIFIED

~~SECRET AUGTEC~~


Attachment C: Taskforce Search Methodology



s33(a)(ii)



s33(a)(ii)
s47F




s33(a)(ii)

~~SECRET AUGTEC~~


DE-CLASSIFIED

DE-CLASSIFIED


~~SECRET AUSTRO~~



s33(a)(ii)



s33(a)(ii)
s47F



s33(a)(ii)
s47F

~~SECRET AUSTRO~~

DE-CLASSIFIED

DE-CLASSIFIED

~~SECRET~~**Attachment D: Summary of previous Defence reviews on Iraq and related subjects**2004: Iraq Detainee Fact-Finding Team (IDFFT) Report

- On 2 June 2004, SEC and CDF appointed Mr Pezzullo (Head Infrastructure) and CDRE Smith (DG Education, Training and Development) to head up the IDFFT.
- The IDFFT gathered all relevant facts and information concerning Defence's involvement in relation to detainee issues arising out of Coalition activities in Iraq, from the commencement of the post-conflict phase in May 2003.
- On 16 June 2004, the Minister for Defence made a statement to the Senate on the outcomes of the IDFFT report.
- The report found that:
 - Australia did not interrogate prisoners.
 - Australia was not involved in guarding prisoners at the Abu Ghraib prison or any other Iraqi prison.
 - Australia was in no way involved in perpetrating the acts of abuse against Iraqi prisoners seen in photos published in the media.
- The Minister also provided Parliament with three detailed tables compiled by the IDFFT that provided:
 - A list by rank of all ADF personnel embedded in Coalition forces in the Middle East Area of Operations (MEAO), the positions they held and the dates of their deployment.
 - A list of visits to detention facilities by ADF personnel and the reasons for those visits.
 - A chronological summary of Situation Reports compiled by ADF Legal Officers embedded in the Coalition Provisional Authority Office of General Counsel where reference was made to detention concerns.

2005: Senate References Committee on Duties of Australian Personnel in Iraq

- On 18 August 2005, the Senate Foreign Affairs, Defence and Trade References Committee published the outcomes of their review into the 'Duties of Australian Personnel in Iraq'. The Committee had one recommendation, that the ADF review its policy and procedure concerning ADF members deploying on third country operations. This recommendation was agreed by the Government.

2005: Review of Highway 10 Issues – Handling of prisoners of war by Special Forces

- On 11 April 2003, Australian special force elements, together with coalition forces, provided security for a member of the US forces in the capture of approximately 60 Iraqi personnel who were travelling along the Main Supply Route out of Iraq. The captured personnel were carrying a large sum of money, around USD \$600 000. These men were taken into US custody.
- In 2005, TIME Magazine wrote that a Mr Mark Henzelin had filed a claim for compensation alleging the torture of two Iranian nationals, the murder of a third and the theft by US forces of US\$ 360,000 resulting from an incident in western Iraq on 11 Apr 03. The article alleged that

~~SECRET~~

DE-CLASSIFIED

DE-CLASSIFIED

~~SECRET~~

Australian SAS troops captured the three Iranians and handed them over to the US. Henzelin alleged the captives were tortured before two were released but the third has not been seen again.

- Defence contributed to a coalition investigation into this incident. It was found that Australian personnel acted in accordance with international obligations and in accordance with the requirements of the Geneva Convention.

2009: File Audit following release of US images of detainee abuse

- In 2009, in response to the foreshadowed public release of a number of US images related to detainee abuse in Iraq and Afghanistan, International Policy Division completed an exhaustive hard copy and electronic search of its files, excluding material captured by the 2004 IDFFT report.
- These searches found no instances of ADF involvement in detainee abuse, nor did they uncover any instances of Australian personnel being aware of the possible mistreatment of detainees where that knowledge was not properly reported.
- In Iraq, there was no record found of ADF personnel transferring detainees to US forces. There were some instances, particularly in the invasion phase of the war, where ADF personnel had incidental contact with detainees of other nations. These incidents include the HMAS KANIMBLA assisting US naval forces in transporting and processing US detainees in March 2003, and an ADF member who was on a third country deployment with a UK unit that captured Iraqis in the period February to April 2003. These incidents were all appropriately reported, and there is no suggestion that detainees in any of these cases were mistreated prior to or during their contact with the ADF.

FOI case: Public Interest Advocacy Centre (PIAC)

- In 2005, Defence received a Freedom of Information (FOI) request from PIAC for documents relating to detainee management policy and practices used by the ADF and our Coalition partners between 2001 and 2005 – in particular as it related to the Abu Ghraib case (approximately 2500 pages in total).
- On 10 May 2010, PIAC applied to the Administrative Appeals Tribunal (AAT) on a deemed refusal [for review as though a decision had been made refusing to grant the request]. The AAT matter has been subject to two separate Early Neutral Evaluation (ENE) hearings, with a third such hearing set down for 13 December 2010. The purpose of the ENE is for the parties to seek independent guidance from the AAT in relation to documents Defence considers exempt due to irrelevancy, legal professional privilege or privacy claims. Defence has conceded to release the majority of the documents requested by PIAC, as they are no longer regarded as sensitive. Defence is also waiting for the US authorities to provide a final view on release of a small number of documents. The matter will either be resolved at the next ENE or scheduled for a full AAT hearing.

FOI case: Mr William Patino

- Mr Hector Patino, a US citizen working as a contractor in Iraq, was killed on 13 January 2007 following an incident involving the ADF security detachment and the US Armed Forces.

~~SECRET~~

DE-CLASSIFIED

~~SECRET~~


- On 13 April 2007, Defence received a written request from [REDACTED] a journalist with [REDACTED] for documents (including findings, correspondence and emails) relating to the shooting of Mr Patino.
- Following a comprehensive review, a tranche of documents were deemed to be relevant and released. A number of documents were released with redactions or were exempted in their entirety on the basis that they contained material that could reasonably be expected to cause damage to national security, defence or international relations, or would divulge information communicated in confidence by another government.
- On 8 September 2009, [REDACTED] applied for an internal review, pursuant to Section 54 of the FOI Act. As part of the internal review process IP Division, Defence Legal and the Provost Marshal ADF review officers conducted 'fresh' searches and identified some additional documents captured by the request. Included in the additional documents identified was the 'Service Police Report' (comprising 44 pages and a DVD). The outcome of the internal review resulted in Defence releasing some additional documents to [REDACTED]. However, access to material containing security, defence, personal, legal and some internal working documents was denied. [REDACTED] complained to the Ombudsman about the timeliness in finalising his FOI request. Defence acknowledged timeliness issues in processing the request and the Ombudsman matter is now finalised.

~~SECRET~~

~~SECRET AUSTRALIA~~

DIO INTELLIGENCE BRIEF

29 October 2010




s7

DE-CLASSIFIED

FOI 321/11/12
Document 2

~~SECRET AUGTEO~~



s7


~~SECRET AUGTEO~~

DE-CLASSIFIED

DE-CLASSIFIED

FOI 321/11/12
Document 2

~~SECRET AUSTEO~~



s7



~~SECRET AUSTEO~~

DE-CLASSIFIED

UNCLASSIFIED

Attachment F

**DRAFT ANNOUNCEMENT OF FINDINGS FROM DEFENCE
IRAQ WIKILEAKS TASK FORCE
MINISTERIAL TALKING POINTS**

IF ASKED: About the latest Wikileaks release and the risks for Defence

- **The Government condemns the latest Wikileaks publication of US State Department classified documents.**
- **The risks for Defence are, in some respects, the same as they are generally – that someone’s safety may be exposed to danger, or that an operational procedure might be exposed.**
- **On this occasion, there is less risk for Defence, than on previous occasions. The last two sets of leaks have both been about Iraq and Afghanistan. Our concern was over exposure of operational procedures; risks to our deployed military personnel, including the protection and operations of forces deployed in Afghanistan; and risks to either Iraqi or Afghan nationals who assisted Australians.**
- **Given that this is a very broad release of US classified documents, a whole-of-government rather than a Defence Task Force has been established to deal with these matters from an Australian perspective.**

| Clearance | Name | Appointment | Date/Time Group |
|----------------------------|------|-------------|-----------------|
| Cleared by (Group/Service) | | FASIP | 2 Dec 10 |
| Cleared by (Other) | | DEPSEC S | 3 Dec 10 |

UNCLASSIFIED

UNCLASSIFIED

Attachment F

| | | | |
|----------------------------------|-------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|---------------------------------|
| Group/Service | | | |
| Cleared by: (Public Affairs) | Bill A-Cochran | DGPA | 2 Dec 10 |
| Ministerial Action (tick one) | For Clearance <input type="radio"/> | For Information <input type="radio"/> | No Action <input type="radio"/> |
| Forwarded to Cleared by | | | |

UNCLASSIFIED

Attachment F

**MINISTERIAL/DEPARTMENTAL
MEDIA RELEASE
DRAFT**

| | |
|--------------------------|-------------|
| <Day>. <Date><Month>2010 | MSPA 000/00 |
|--------------------------|-------------|

FINDINGS FROM DEFENCE IRAQ WIKILEAKS TASK FORCE

In July 2010, WikiLeaks released 90,000 documents relating to NATO-led military efforts in Afghanistan. The former Minister for Defence, Senator the Hon John Faulkner, responded to this incident by establishing a Defence Task Force to review the material and determine the potential impact on Australian interests and the safety of Australian personnel, including our forces deployed in Afghanistan.

As I announced to Parliament on 26 October 2010, the review found that there had been no compromise of Australian interests, and no increased threat to people who may have assisted Australian forces.

On 23 October, the WikiLeaks website released some 400,000 documents about the war in Iraq, dating from 2004 to 2009. I tasked the Defence Task Force charged to look at the earlier unauthorised release of classified documentation to go through the large volume of documents released on Iraq. Defence has now completed the second review. I would like to take this opportunity to announce the findings of the review.

The review found that:

- there is no grave threat from the release of the documents to ADF personnel currently serving on operations in the Middle East;
- the pattern of reporting in the signals is consistent with our standard classified reporting of operations and incidents during a conflict; and
- the content published by WikiLeaks does not reveal any significant details about operational incidents involving Australia beyond what has already been publicly released.

While the release of this material is regrettable, the consequences for Australia are minimal because our involvement in Iraq has largely ceased. The unauthorised publication of classified military documents, however, remains a very serious matter for concern.

Further Information: Further Information: <Drafter's name, position, landline and mobile numbers>

| Clearance | Name | Appointment | DTG |
|--|----------------|-------------|----------|
| <i>Drafted by:</i> | Lucinda Watson | ADOPS | 3 Dec 10 |
| <i>Cleared by:</i> <i>(Subject matter expert)</i> | Ben Burdon | A/FASIP | 3 Dec 10 |

UNCLASSIFIED

UNCLASSIFIED

Attachment F

| | | | |
|---|----------------|-----------------|-----------|
| <i>Cleared by:</i> <i>(Group/Service 1:star or above.)</i> | Peier Jennings | DEPSEC S | 3 Dec 10 |
| <i>Cleared by:</i> <i>(Director: Public Affairs)</i> | | | |
| <i>Cleared by:</i> <i>(DGPA or delegate)</i> | COL S-BOWEN | DGPA-CMS | 3 Dec 10 |
| <i>Ministerial Action</i> <i>(to be completed by DGPA)</i> | For Clearance | For Information | No Action |
| <i>Forwarded to / Cleared by:</i> <i>(Minister's office)</i> | | | |