EVALUATION CRITERIA AND WEIGHTINGS DEFENCE INFRASTRUCTURE PANEL (DIP)

the Proposal must remain valid for 180 days; the Respondent must accept (without departure, qualification, amendment, limitation or exclusion) the Panel Deed and Terms of Engagement; NITIAL SCREENING BASED ON PAST PERFORMANCE The extent to which the Respondent can demonstrate a strong and onsistent national capacity to provide the Services concurrently; across multiple projects; and at multiple locations	E(d)
The Respondent must satisfy each condition of participation as follows: the Proposal must remain valid for 180 days; the Respondent must accept (without departure, qualification, amendment, limitation or exclusion) the Panel Deed and Terms of Engagement; NITIAL SCREENING BASED ON PAST PERFORMANCE The extent to which the Respondent can demonstrate a strong and consistent national capacity to provide the Services concurrently; across multiple projects; and at multiple locations	
the Proposal must remain valid for 180 days; the Respondent must accept (without departure, qualification, amendment, limitation or exclusion) the Panel Deed and Terms of Engagement; NITIAL SCREENING BASED ON PAST PERFORMANCE The extent to which the Respondent can demonstrate a strong and onsistent national capacity to provide the Services concurrently; across multiple projects; and at multiple locations	
The extent to which the Respondent can demonstrate a strong and onsistent national capacity to provide the Services concurrently; across multiple projects; and at multiple locations	E(d)
he extent to which the Respondent can demonstrate a strong and onsistent national capacity to provide the Services concurrently; across multiple projects; and at multiple locations	L(u)
at multiple locations	
INDERSTANDING OF AND APPROACH TO DELIVERING THE	17E(d)
ERVICES	
he extent to which the Respondent understands the Services, and the uitability of the Respondent's proposed approach to delivering the Services.	
EY PERSONNEL	
the suitability of proposed key personner, including:	E(d)
nature and extent of their qualifications and experience in carrying out	
similar work;	
suitability of any proposed sub-consultants.	
ANEL MANAGEMENT	
he suitability of the Respondent's nominated Panel Manager and their oproach to managing performance	(d)
he Respondent's approach to integrating quality assurance systems and rocesses with the requirements of Panel Deed and each subsequent ngagement.	
CHEDULE OF RATES	
ot evaluated.	

1. STAKEHOLDERS

Key stakeholders for the project are identified in the table below.

Table 1 : Project Stakeholders

Organisation	Name	Position FASI	
Infrastructure Division	Chris Birrer		
Capital Facilities and Infrastructure Branch	BRIG Noel Beutel	ASEP ASEE	
Estates Planning Branch	Brett Cox		
Environment and Engineering Branch	Alison Clifton or nominated representative*2		
Property Management Branch	Loraine Holcroft	A/ASPM	

2. EVALUATION BOARD MEMBERSHIPS

Table 2 describes the composition of the Evaluation team for each service category. Initial screening and detailed technical evaluations will be undertaken by two nominated representatives from the sponsor Branch. One of the sponsor Branch nominations will assume the evaluation Board Chairperson role. Those Schedules that are assessed and not evaluated will be reviewed by DPA.

Table 2: - Evaluation Board Memberships

Service Category	Conformance Assessment	Initial Screening Evaluation	Assessment Respondents Panel Rep, Quality Systems, Commercial Information and Rates	Detailed Technical Evaluation
Probity	s22			
Initial Business Case Development				
Process Auditing				
Project Management and Contract Administration				
Estate Strategic				
Planning Aircraft Pavement & Ground Lighting				
Spatial				
Acoustics				
Electronic Records Information Management				
PMCA Disposal				

