

## Abbreviations

| Acronym or term   | Description                                      |
|-------------------|--|
| <b>10:2 FtS</b>   | 10:2 fluorotelomer sulfonate                     |
| <b>4:2 FtS</b>    | 4:2 fluorotelomer sulfonate                      |
| <b>6:2 FtS</b>    | 6:2 fluorotelomer sulfonate                      |
| <b>6:2 FtS</b>    | fluorotelomer sulfonate                          |
| <b>8:2 FtS</b>    | 8:2 fluorotelomer sulfonate                      |
| <b>8:2 FtS</b>    | 8:2 fluorotelomer sulfonic acid                  |
| <b>ASTDR</b>      | Agency for Toxic Substances and Disease Registry |
| <b>BCL</b>        | Basic Comparison Levels                          |
| <b>CL</b>         | Cleanup Level                                    |
| <b>Danish EPA</b> | Danish Environmental Protection Agency           |
| <b>DCRB</b>       | Direct Contact Risk-Based concentration          |
| <b>DNEL</b>       | Derived no effect level                          |
| <b>DW</b>         | Drinking water                                   |
| <b>EFSA</b>       | European Food Safety Authority                   |
| <b>FSANZ</b>      | Food Standards Australia and New Zealand         |
| <b>FW</b>         | Fresh water                                      |
| <b>GCC</b>        | Generic Cleanup Criteria                         |
| <b>GW</b>         | Groundwater                                      |
| <b>ISL</b>        | Interim Screening Level                          |
| <b>MRL</b>        | Minimal risk level                               |
| <b>NEMP</b>       | PFAS National Environmental Management Plan      |
| <b>NOAL</b>       | No observable adverse effect level               |
| <b>PCL</b>        | Protective Concentration Level                   |
| <b>PFAS</b>       | Per- and polyfluoroalkyl substance(s)            |
| <b>PFBA</b>       | Perfluorobutanoic acid                           |
| <b>PFBS</b>       | Perfluorobutane sulfonic acid                    |

|                    |  |
|--------------------|--|
| <b>PFDS</b>        | Perfluorodecane sulfonic acid  |
| <b>PFHpA</b>       | Perfluoroheptanoic acid  |
| <b>PFHxA</b>       | Perfluorohexanoic acid   |
| <b>PFHxS</b>       | Perfluorohexane sulfonic acid  |
| <b>PFNA</b>        | Perfluorononanoic acid   |
| <b>PFOA</b>        | Perfluorooctanoic acid   |
| <b>PFOS</b>        | Perfluorooctane sulfonate (alternative name Perfluorooctane sulfonic acid)                       |
| <b>PFPeA</b>       | Perfluoropentanoic acid  |
| <b>POD</b>         | Point of departure   |
| <b>PSRG</b>        | Preliminary Soil Remediation Goal  |
| <b>RfD</b>         | Reference dose   |
| <b>RSL</b>         | Regional Screening Level   |
| <b>RW</b>          | Recreational water   |
| <b>SRV</b>         | Soil Reference Value   |
| <b>SSV</b>         | Soil Screening Value   |
| <b>SW</b>          | Surface water and/or effluent  |
| <b>Swedish EPA</b> | Swedish Environmental Protection Agency  |
| <b>TDI</b>         | Tolerable daily intake   |
| <b>UF</b>          | Uncertainty factor   |
| <b>UKCOT</b>       | United Kingdom Committee on Toxicity of Chemicals in Food, Consumer Products and the Environment |
| <b>USEPA</b>       | United States Environmental Protection Agency  |

# Perfluorooctane Sulfonate (PFOS) Toxicity Profile

## What is PFOS?

Perfluorooctane Sulfonate (PFOS) contains eight carbon atoms and a sulfonate group ( $C_8F_{17}SO_3$ ) and is a member of the per- and poly-fluorinated alkyl substances (PFAS) group (ATSDR 2015). PFAS, man-made compounds that do not occur naturally in the environment, are characterized by their fluorinated carbon chains where the hydrogen atoms have been replaced with fluorine atoms. The resulting carbon-fluorine bond ensures that PFAS are both highly resistant to being degraded or metabolized, and bio-accumulative (ATSDR 2015).

PFOS is commercially produced from perfluorooctanesulfonyl fluoride (POSF) via electrochemical fluorination. Either PFOS or PFOA can be the ultimate degradation or metabolic perfluorinated compound for a number of longer chain PFAS. PFOS, along with PFOA and PFHxS, is the most common PFAS found in the environment due to its widespread historic use and its physical-chemical characteristics. Analytical quantification of PFOS should include both branched and linear standards since PFOS occurs as both forms within the environment (USEPA 2016). Perfluoroalkyls are described as ubiquitous in the environment, having been found from the air (dust), soil, and groundwater near the facilities that use them to animals such as polar bears in the Arctic (ATSDR 2015). As mentioned above, these compounds bioaccumulate and are resistant to breakdown via biodegradation, photo oxidation, direct photolysis, and hydrolysis.

## How is PFOS used?

Beginning in the 1940s, PFOS has been used as a component of perfluoroalkyls that have been widely used for many industrial applications and consumer products due to their ability to repel oil, grease, and water. PFAS have been produced as strong surfactants for the manufacturing of non-stick cookware, carpet/clothing protection treatments, paper/cardboard packing, and fire-fighting foams. In the early 2000s, the manufacturing processes utilizing PFAS started changing to either eliminate or reduce the amount of perfluoroalkyls used in their products (FSANZ 2017). The predominant exposure of PFOS to the general human population is expected to occur through exposure to cookware, clothing, furniture and food packing with less frequent exposures occurring from contaminated drinking water and contaminated fish consumption (ATSDR 2015).

## Fate and Transport

PFAS compounds are environmentally persistent chemicals (EPA 2008) because environmental degradation processes lack sufficient energy to break the carbon-fluorine bonds, the strongest chemical bond (3M 2000). PFAS are resistant to biodegradation, direct photolysis, atmospheric photooxidation, and hydrolysis (OECD 2002, 2007).

The low pKa values for PFAS (carboxylic and sulfonic acids) suggests that these compounds primarily exist in the environment as anions. Volatilization is expected to be negligible because anions are not volatile. However, PFAS associated with water and soil surfaces may be transported through water volatilization or particulate distribution. PFAS strongly sorb to soils and sediment, but disperse upon contact with water, consistent with their high water solubility (ATSDR 2015).

The chemical and physical properties of PFOS are presented in Table 1.

Table 1. Chemical/physical properties of PFOS; CAS number 1763-23-1

| Parameter                                  | Value   | Comment   |
|--|---|---|
| Appearance <sup>c</sup>                    | White powder  |   |
| Molecular Weight <sup>b</sup>              | 500.03 g/mol  |   |
| Melting Point <sup>a, b</sup>              | ≥ 400°C   | Potassium salt  |
| Boiling Point <sup>b, c</sup>              | No data <sup>b</sup> ; 258 - 260°C <sup>c</sup>                   | FSANZ (2017) and ATSDR (2015) have reported different values                      |
| Density <sup>b, c</sup>                    | No data <sup>b</sup> ; approximately 0.6 <sup>c</sup>             | FSANZ (2017) and ATSDR (2015) have reported different values                      |
| Water Solubility <sup>b</sup>              | 570 mg/L  | Potassium salt in pure water  |
| Organic solvent solubility <sup>b, c</sup> | No data <sup>b</sup> ; 56 mg/L <sup>c</sup>                       | FSANZ (2017) and ATSDR (2015) have reported different values                      |
| Log K <sub>ow</sub> <sup>a, b</sup>        | Not available/applicable  |   |
| K <sub>oc</sub> <sup>b, c</sup>            | No data <sup>b</sup> ; 2.57 <sup>c</sup>                          | FSANZ (2017) and ATSDR (2015) have reported different values                      |
| pK <sub>a</sub> <sup>b, c</sup>            | 0.14 <sup>b</sup> ; approximately -3.3 <sup>c</sup>               | FSANZ (2017) and ATSDR (2015) have reported different values (both are estimated) |
| Henry's Law Constant <sup>a, b</sup>       | Not available/applicable  | Because dissociated in environment  |
| Vapor Pressure <sup>b</sup>                | 2.48x10 <sup>-6</sup> mm Hg at 20°C                               | Assumed to be experimental for K <sup>+</sup> salt                                |
| Conversion Factors <sup>b</sup>            | 1 ppm = 20.79 mg/m <sup>3</sup><br>1 mg/m <sup>3</sup> = 0.05 ppm | Estimated from molecular weight   |
| Skin permeability                          | 0.000001  | Drew (2017)   |

a. US EPA (2016)

b. ATSDR (2015)

c. Food Standards Australia New Zealand (FSANZ), *Perfluorinated chemicals in food* (2017)

## Exposure

The main routes of exposure to PFAS are most likely to be from:

- Drinking contaminated municipal water or private well water
- Eating fish caught from contaminated surface water
- Incidental ingestion of contaminated soil or dust
- Eating food packaged in PFAS-containing materials
- Using consumer products such as non-stick cookware, stain-resistant carpeting, and water-repellant clothing.

Exposures to PFAS from consumer products is usually low, relative to exposure from contaminated drinking water. Babies born to mothers exposed to PFAS can be exposed to PFAS during pregnancy and while breast feeding, but benefits of breast feeding outweigh the risks for exposure to PFAS. PFAS can be transported across the placenta. Negligible levels of PFAS can be dermally absorbed resulting from showering or bathing with water contaminated with PFAS. Because PFAS compounds tend to be in an ionized form at environmental pH, dermal absorption is expected to be poor (ATSDR 2018).

## Toxicokinetics

### Absorption

Studies of oral absorption of PFOS in rat indicate that greater than 95 percent of <sup>14</sup>C-PFOS was absorbed (Chang et al. 2012 and Johnson and Ober 1999, as cited in ATSDR 2015). Dermal absorption of PFOS

is expected to be relatively low given its ionizable state. FSANZ (2017) presumes dermal absorption to be negligible for this reason.

PFOS has a relatively low volatility, but could be inhaled when associated with particulates. ATSDR (2015) indicates that absorption of inhaled perfluorinated compounds in humans can be inferred by observations of fluorochemical production worker biomonitoring data (i.e., elevated serum perfluorinated compound levels when compared with general worker populations). Inhalation studies in animals support that perfluorinated compounds are absorbed in the respiratory system, including studies of the PFOS-related compound, PFOA, showing dose-responsive serum levels with inhalation exposure. An acute inhalation study of PFOS in rat yielded an LC50, indicating that absorption of PFOS occurs via the inhalation route (Rusch et al. 1979 as cited in USEPA 2016).

### ***Distribution***

PFOS has a great affinity for proteins, and is readily distributed to albumin in blood serum upon absorption. The largest proportion of absorbed PFOS is distributed to the following tissues in mammalian species, including human: liver and blood, and to a lesser extent kidney. In liver, PFOS is associated with fatty acid binding proteins, which can carry fatty acids to the cell nucleus (and hence perhaps PFOS). There are species and sex differences in distribution. PFAS in maternal blood have been shown to transfer to the foetus via the placenta, and this may occur with PFOS, as well (ATSDR 2015).

### ***Metabolism***

There is little evidence of metabolism of PFOS in experimental animals (ATSDR 2015). This is not unexpected given the strength of the carbon-fluoride bonds in this chemical.

### ***Excretion***

Urinary excretion is the primary means of elimination of PFOS. PFOS is secreted into bile and thus eliminated to some extent in faeces, but much of the biliary-secreted PFOS is retained in the body by enterohepatic cycling. Lactation is another potential mechanism of elimination in mammals, as PFOS has been measured in breast milk of rodents and humans.

There are sex and species differences in PFOS elimination. The most significant species differences are in biological half-life of PFOS, with human half-life in the order of years (4.1-8.7 years), while biological half-lives for a number of other species in the order of months. EPA (2016) indicates that human PFOS half-life estimates range from 4.1 – 8.7 years compared with 121 days (or approximately 4 months) for monkey, 48 days (or approximately 1.5 months) for rat, and 37 days (or just over a month) for mouse.

## **Toxicity**

### ***Human Epidemiological Studies***

There is a large database of epidemiological studies of workers and populations near perfluorinated production facilities as well as a significant body of animal toxicity studies.

There is consensus among international regulatory agencies that the human epidemiological database for PFOS does not support the development of toxicity criteria for human health risk assessment. Health effects that have been proposed as associated with PFOS exposure include lower birth weight, increased serum cholesterol, and immunological effects. However, FSANZ (2017) evaluated the studies and concluded that the associations were not convincing.

FSANZ (2017) concludes that existing epidemiological data do not provide convincing evidence of an association between PFOS exposure and cancer.

## Animal Studies

ATSDR (2015), USEPA (2016), and FSANZ (2017) summarize the body of animal toxicity studies of PFOS, and hepatotoxicity and reproductive effects are key adverse effects observed in repeat dose toxicity testing.

There is a 2-year chronic oral cancer study of PFOS in rat (Thomford 2002, Butenhoff *et al.* 2012). As summarized in EPA (2016), while there were increased incidences of hepatic carcinoma, adenoma and thyroid tumors, there were not clear dose-response relationships in the effects. PFOS does not appear to be genotoxic, as assay results were negative for “Ames test, mammalian-microsome reverse mutation assay, an in vitro assay for chromosomal aberrations, an unscheduled DNA synthesis assay, and mouse micronucleus assay.” (USEPA 2016).

## Health Based Guidance Values and Regulatory Values

Table 2 provides a summary of the health-based guideline values (HBGV) presented in FSANZ (2017) that are derived from key toxicity studies of PFOS.

Table 2: Health-based guideline values PFOS

| Regulatory Agency         | HBGV (TDI)              | POD                  | Critical Effect       | Species | UF  | Reference                                   |
|---------------------------|-------------------------|----------------------|-----------------------|---------|-----|---|
| <b>FSANZ (2017)</b>       | TDI = 20 ng/kg bw/day   | 0.0006 mg/kg bw/day  | Reproductive Toxicity | Rat     | 30  | Luebker <i>et al.</i> 2005                  |
| <b>ASTDR (2015)</b>       | MRL = 30 ng/kg bw/day   | 0.00252 mg/kg bw/day | Hepatotoxicity        | Monkey  | 30  | Seacat <i>et al.</i> 2002                   |
| <b>Danish EPA (2015)</b>  | TDI = 30 ng/kg bw/day   | 0.033mg/kg bw/day    | Hepatotoxicity        | Rat     | 90  | Thomford 2002; Butenhoff <i>et al.</i> 2012 |
| <b>EFSA (2008)</b>        | TDI = 150 ng/kg bw/day  | 0.03 mg/kg bw/day    | Serum lipid & Thyroid | Monkey  | 200 | Seacat <i>et al.</i> 2002                   |
| <b>Swedish EPA (2012)</b> | DNEL = 162 ng/mL serum  | 4040 ng/mL serum     | Hepatotoxicity        | Rat     | 150 | Thomford 2002; Butenhoff <i>et al.</i> 2012 |
|                           | DNEL = 196 ng/mL serum  | 4900 ng/mL serum     | Reproductive Toxicity | Mouse   | 150 | Luebker <i>et al.</i> 2005                  |
|                           | DNEL = 0.12 ng/mL serum | 17.8 ng/mL serum     | Immunotoxicity        | Mouse   | 150 | Peden-Adams <i>et al.</i> 2008              |
| <b>UKCOT (2006)</b>       | TDI = 300 ng/kg bw/day  | 0.03 mg/kg bw/day    | Thyroid               | Monkey  | 100 | Seacat <i>et al.</i> 2002                   |
| <b>USEPA (2016)</b>       | RfD = 20 ng/kg bw/day   | 0.00051 mg/kg-bw/day | Reproductive Toxicity | Rat     | 30  | Luebker <i>et al.</i> 2005                  |

International regulatory criteria for PFOS in water and soil are provided in Tables 3 and 4, respectively

Table 3: PFOS Regulatory Criteria Summary (Water)

| Location           | Year | Standard/ Guidance                       | Type                       | Foot-note | PFOS Screening Levels (µg/L) |
|--------------------|------|--|----------------------------|-----------|------------------------------|
| <b>Australia</b>   | 2017 | Health-based                             | Drinking water             | e         | 0.07                         |
|                    | 2017 | Health-based                             | Recreational water         | e         | 0.7                          |
| <b>Canada</b>      | 2016 | Screening Value                          | Drinking water             | -         | 0.6                          |
| <b>Denmark</b>     | 2015 | Health-based                             | Drinking water/Groundwater | f         | 0.1                          |
| <b>Germany</b>     | 2006 | Health-based                             | Drinking water             | -         | 0.3                          |
|                    | -    | -  | Drinking water             | g         | 0.1                          |
| <b>Italy</b>       | 2017 | Health-based                             | Drinking water             | -         | -                            |
|                    | 2017 | Screening Value                          | Freshwater                 | h         | -                            |
| <b>Netherlands</b> | 2011 | Health-based                             | Drinking water             | -         | 0.53                         |
|                    | 2011 | Administrative                           | Drinking water             | -         | 0.0053                       |
| <b>Sweden</b>      | 2014 | Health-based                             | Drinking water             | -         | 0.09                         |
|                    | 2014 | Administrative                           | Drinking water             | i         | 0.09                         |
| <b>UK</b>          | 2009 | Health-based                             | Drinking water             | -         | 0.3                          |
|                    | 2009 | Admin. Level 1                           | Drinking water             | -         | 0.3                          |
|                    | 2009 | Admin. Level 2                           | Drinking water             | -         | 1.0                          |
|                    | 2009 | Admin. Level 3                           | Drinking water             | -         | 9                            |
| <b>USEPA</b>       | 2016 | Health Advisory                          | Drinking water             | a         | 0.07                         |
|                    | 2017 | Regional Screening Level <sup>b</sup>    | Groundwater                | b         | 0.4                          |
| Alaska (AK)        | 2016 | Cleanup Level                            | Groundwater                | -         | 0.40                         |
| Connecticut (CT)   | 2016 | Cleanup Level                            | Groundwater                | c         | 0.07                         |
| Colorado (CO)      | 2017 | Health Advisory                          | Drinking water             | -         | 0.07                         |
| Delaware (DE)      | 2016 | Regional Level                           | Groundwater                | a         | 0.07                         |
|                    | 2016 | Screening Level                          | Groundwater                | a         | 0.07                         |
| Iowa (IA)          | 2016 | Statewide Standards                      | Protected Groundwater      | a         | 0.07                         |
|                    | -    |  | Non-protected Groundwater  | -         | 1                            |
| Maine (ME)         | 2016 | Health-based Maximum Exposure Guidelines | Drinking water             | a         | 0.07                         |
|                    | 2016 | Remedial Action Guideline                | Groundwater                | -         | 0.56                         |
|                    | 2016 | -  | Recreational water         | -         | 1.2                          |
| Michigan (MI)      | 2015 | Human Noncancer                          | Surface water              | -         | 0.011                        |
|                    | 2016 | Groundwater cleanup criteria             | Groundwater                | -         | 0.08                         |
| Minnesota (MN)     | 2017 | Short-term Health Based Value            | Groundwater                | d         | 0.027                        |
|                    | 2017 | Subchronic Health Based Value            | Groundwater                | d         | 0.027                        |
|                    | 2017 | Chronic Health Based Value               | Groundwater                | d         | 0.027                        |
| Nevada (NV)        | 2015 | Basic Comparison Level                   | Drinking water             | -         | 0.667                        |

| Location            | Year | Standard/ Guidance                             | Type                       | Foot-note | PFOS Screening Levels (µg/L) |
|---------------------|------|--|----------------------------|-----------|------------------------------|
| New Hampshire (NH)  | 2016 | Ambient Groundwater Quality Standard           | Groundwater                | a         | 0.07                         |
| New Jersey (NJ)     | 2015 | Interim specific ground water quality criteria | Groundwater                | -         | -                            |
|                     | 2017 | Maximum contaminant level                      | Groundwater                | -         | -                            |
|                     | 2017 | Maximum contaminant level                      | Drinking water             | -         | -                            |
|                     | 2017 | Maximum contaminant level                      | Drinking water             | -         | -                            |
| North Carolina (NC) | 2006 | Interim maximum allowable concentration        | Groundwater                | -         | -                            |
|                     | 2017 | -  | Drinking water             | -         | -                            |
| Oregon (OR)         | 2011 | Investigation Level                            | Surface water              | -         | 300                          |
| Texas (TX)          | 2017 | Tier 1 Protective concentration level          | Groundwater                | -         | 0.56                         |
| Vermont (VT)        | 2016 | Primary groundwater enforcement standard       | Groundwater/Drinking water | a         | 0.02                         |

- a. Applies to the individual results for PFOA and PFOS, as well as the sum of PFOA + PFOS.
- b. ERA RSLs (June 2017). Calculated by the ERA RSL calculator using EPA OW RfDs, HI of 1, residential exposure assumptions.
- c. Applies to the individual results for PFOA, PFOS, PFHpA, PFNA, and PFHxS as well as the sum of concentrations of these 5 PFAS.
- d. HBVs just published May 2017 and full promulgation of HRLS anticipated in 2018.
- e. The Australian Government Department of Health values for PFOS/PfHxS are combined value when both are present.
- f. Applies to the individual results for PFOA, PFOS, PFNA, PFBA, PFBS, PFHxS, PFHxA, PFPeA, PFHpA, PFOSA, PFDA, and 6:2 FTS as well as the sum of concentrations of these 12 PFAS.
- g. The GMH administrative guidance value of 0.1 µg/L is a composite precautionary value for both PFOA and PFOS for long term exposure in drinking water.
- h. Annual Average - Environmental Quality Standards. PFOA AA-EQS based on secondary poisoning of wildlife.
- i. Administrative value is for the sum of seven PFAS found in drinking water: PFOS, PFOA, PFHxS, PFBS, PFHpA, PFHxA, and PFPeA. PFOS is considered to be the most toxic. Water can still be used at up to 0.9 µg/L.



Table 4: PFOS Regulatory Criteria Summary (Soil)

| Location            | Year | Standard/ Guidance                       | Type  | Soil Screening Level PFOS (mg/kg) |
|---------------------|------|--|---|-----------------------------------|
| <b>Australia</b>    | 2018 | Health Based Guidance Value <sup>c</sup> | Human Health Screening – Residential with garden/accessible soil              | 0.009                             |
|                     |      |  | Human Health Screening – Residential with minimal opportunity for soil access | 2                                 |
|                     |      |  | Human Health Screening – Public open space                                    | 1                                 |
|                     |      |  | Human Health Screening – Industrial /commercial                               | 20                                |
| <b>Canada</b>       | 2017 | SSV                                      | Human Health Screening  | 2.1                               |
| <b>Denmark</b>      | 2015 | <sup>d</sup>                             | Human Health Screening  | 0.4                               |
| <b>U.S. EPA</b>     | 2017 | RSL                                      | Groundwater Protection  | 0.000378                          |
|                     | 2017 | RSL                                      | Human Health Screening  | 1.26                              |
| Alaska (AK)         | 2017 | CL                                       | Groundwater Protection  | 0.003                             |
|                     | 2017 | CL <sup>b</sup>                          | Human Health Screening  | 1.3                               |
| Delaware (DE)       | 2016 | GCC                                      | Human Health Screening  | 6                                 |
| Michigan (MI)       | 2016 | GCC                                      | Groundwater Protection (Groundwater)  | 0.0024                            |
|                     | 2016 | GCC                                      | Groundwater Protection (Surface Water)  | 0.00024                           |
|                     | 2016 | GCC                                      | Human Health Screening  | 3.20                              |
| Minnesota (MN)      | 2016 | SRV                                      | Human Health Screening  | 1.70                              |
| Nevada (NV)         | 2017 | BCL                                      | Human Health Screening  | 1.56                              |
| New Hampshire (NH)  | 2017 | DCRB                                     | Human Health Screening  | 0.5                               |
| North Carolina (NC) | 2016 | PSRG                                     | Groundwater Protection  | -                                 |
|                     | 2016 | PSRG                                     | Human Health Screening  | -                                 |
| Texas (TX)          | 2017 | PCL                                      | Groundwater Protection (0.5-acre source)                                      | 0.05                              |
|                     | 2017 | PCL                                      | Groundwater Protection (30-acre source)                                       | 0.025                             |
|                     | 2017 | PCL                                      | Human Health Screening (0.5-acre source)                                      | 1.5                               |
|                     | 2017 | PCL                                      | Human Health Screening (30-acre source)                                       | 1.5                               |

a. EPA RSLs (June 2017). Calculated by the EPA RSL calculator using EPA OW RfDs, HI of 1, residential exposure assumptions.

b. Alaska proposed cleanup levels for Human health - most stringent value is from the "Over 40 Inch Zone".

c. Health screening level for contaminated sites (HEPA,2018). Note the screening level is for PFOS+PFHxS..

d. Applies to the individual results for PFOA, PFOS, PFNA, PFBA, PFBS, PFHxS, PFHxA, PFPxA, PFPeA, PFHpA, PFOSA, PFDA, and 6:2 FTS as well as the sum of concentrations of these 12 PFAS..

## References

- 3M, 2000. Sulfonated perfluorochemicals in the environment: Sources; dispersion, fate and effects. 3M Company submission to the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency's Administrative Record. OPPT2002-0043-0005.
- Agency for Toxic Substances and Disease Registry (ATSDR), 2015. Draft Toxicological Profile for Perfluoroalkyls. Agency for Toxic Substances and Disease Registry. August 2015.
- Agency for Toxic Substances and Disease Registry (ATSDR), 2018. Per- and Polyfluoroalkyl Substances (PFAS) and Your Health. 2018. <https://www.atsdr.cdc.gov/pfas/overview.html>
- Alaska Department of Environmental Conservation (ADEC). 2017. 18 AAC 75, Oil and Other Hazardous Substances Pollution Control. As amended through March 23, 2017. (p. 79)
- Australia Department of Defense (DOD). 2016. Defence Contamination Directive (DCD) #\* (Amendment 1) - Interim Screening Criteria. Defence Project Guidance for Per- and Poly-Fluoroalkyl Substances (PFAS). Version 3.0. September.
- Butenhoff JL, Chang S-C, Olsen GW and Thomford PJ, 2012. Chronic dietary toxicity and carcinogenicity study with potassium perfluorooctanesulfonate in Sprague Dawley rats. *Toxicology* 293: 1-15.
- Chang S, Noker PE, Gorman GS, *et al.* 2012. Comparative pharmacokinetics of perfluorooctanesulfonate (PFOS) in rats, mice, and monkeys. *Reprod Toxicol* 33(4):428-440.
- Colorado Department of Public Health and the Environment (CDPHE). PFCs Health Advisory, 2017.
- Danish Environmental Protection Agency (Danish EPA), 2015. Perfluoroalkylated substances: PFOA, PFOS, PFOSA: Evaluation of health hazards and proposal of a health-based quality criterion for drinking water, soil and ground water. Environment project No. 1665, 2015. Danish Ministry of the Environment, Environmental Protection Agency. <http://www2.mst.dk/Udgiv/publications/2015/04/978-87-93283-01-5.pdf>
- Danish Ministry of the Environment. 2015. Perfluoroalkylated substances: PFOA, PFOS, and PFOSA. Evaluation of health hazards and proposal of a health based quality criterion for drinking water, soil and ground water. Environmental project No. 1665, 2015.
- Delaware Department of Natural Resources and Environmental Control (DNREC). 2016. Screening Level Table. Division of Waste and Hazardous Substances. Site Investigation & Restoration Section. January 1, 2013. Last Updated July 2016.
- EFSA, 2008. Perfluorooctane sulfonate (PFOS), perfluorooctanoic acid (PFOA) and their salts. Scientific Opinion of the Panel on Contaminants in the Food chain. *EFSA J* 653: 1-131
- Food Standards Australia New Zealand (FSANZ), 2017. Hazard assessment report – Perfluorooctane sulfonate (PFOS), Perfluorooctanoic acid (PFOA), Perfluorohexane sulfonate (PFHxS), April 2017
- Government of Western Australia Department of Environmental Regulation (DER). 2017. Interim Guideline on the Assessment and Management of Perfluoroalkyl and Polyfluoroalkyl Substances (PFAS). Contaminated Sites Guidelines. January.
- HEPA (2018) PFAS National Environmental Management Plan. Heads of EPAs Australia and New Zealand. January 2018
- Johnson JD, Ober RE., 1999. Absorption of FC-143-<sup>14</sup>C in rats after a single oral dose. In: Exploratory 28-day oral toxicity study with telomer alcohol, telomer acrylate, PFHS, and PFOS (POS control) by daily

gavage in the rat, w/CVR LTR DTD, 051500 (Sanitized) 3M. Submitted to the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency under TSCA Section FYI. OTS05001378S.

Luebker DJ, Case MT, York RG, Moore JA, Hansen KJ and Butenhoff JL, 2005. Two-generation reproduction and cross-foster studies of perfluorooctanesulfonate (PFOS) in rats. *Toxicology* 215: 126–148.

Michigan Department of Environmental Quality (DEQ). 2016. Remediation and Redevelopment Division. Environmental Contamination Response Activity. Table 2. Chemical-specific Data, part 201 Generic Cleanup Criteria and Screening Levels/Part 213 Risk-based Screening Levels. Page 307. September 2016.

Minnesota Pollution Control Agency (PCA) Risk-Based Site Evaluation Guidance, Draft Soil Reference Value (SRV) Technical Support Document and SRV Spreadsheets as of September 2016.

New Hampshire Department of Environmental Services-Environmental Health Program. Direct Contact Risk-Based Soil Concentration. Perfluorooctanoic Acid. CAS #335-67-1. June 17, 2016.

New Hampshire Department of Environmental Services-Environmental Health Program. Direct Contact Risk-Based Soil Concentration. Perfluorooctane Sulfonate. CAS #1763-23-1. June 28, 2016.

North Carolina Department of Environmental Quality (DEQ). 2016. Inactive Hazardous Sites Guidance. Preliminary Soil Remediation Goals (PSRG) Table. October 2016.

Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development (OECD), 2002. Hazard assessment of perfluorooctane sulfonate (PFOS) and its salts. ENV/JM/RD(2002)17/FINAL.  
<http://www.oecd.org/dataoecd/23/18/2382880.pdf>.

Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development (OECD), 2007. Report of an OECD workshop on perfluorocarboxylic acids (PFCAs) and precursors.  
[http://www.olis.oecd.org/olis/2007doc.nsf/LinkTo/NT00002AB6/\\$FILE/JT03229256.PDF](http://www.olis.oecd.org/olis/2007doc.nsf/LinkTo/NT00002AB6/$FILE/JT03229256.PDF).

Peden-Adams MM, Keller JM, EuDaly JG, Berger J, Gilkeson GS and Keil DE, 2008. Suppression of humoral immunity in mice following exposure to perfluorooctane sulfonate. *Toxicol Sci* 104: 144–154.

Rusch GM, Rinehart WE and Bozak CA, 1979. An Acute Inhalation Toxicity Study of T-2306 CoC in the Rat. Project No. 78-7185. Bio/dynamics, Inc.

Seacat AM, Thomford PJ, Hansen KJ, Olsen GW, Case MT and Butenhoff JL, 2002. Subchronic toxicity studies on perfluorooctanesulfonate potassium salt in cynomolgus monkeys. *Toxicol Sci* 68: 249-264.

Swedish Environmental Health Protection Agency (Swedish EPA) Report 6513, 2012. Environmental and Health Risk Assessment of Perfluoroalkylated and Polyfluoroalkylated Substances (PFASs) in Sweden.  
<http://www.naturvardserket.se/Douments/publikationer6400/978-91-620-6513-3.pdf?pid=3822>.

Texas Commission on Environmental Quality (TCEQ). 2017. Texas Risk Reduction Program (TRRP) Tier 1 Protective Concentration Levels (PCLs). March 31.

Thomford PJ, 2002. 104-week dietary chronic toxicity and carcinogenicity study with perfluorooctane sulfonic acid potassium salt (PFOS; T-6295) in rats. Final Report. Volumes I-IX. Covance study no. 6329-183. 3M Company, St Paul, MN.

ToxConsult, 2016. Toxicity Profiles for the Perfluorinated compounds PFOS, PFOA, 6:2 FTS, 8:2 FTS, prepared for AECOM Australia Pty Ltd, ToxConsult document ToxCR011115-RTF, 22 July 2016.

United Kingdom Committee on Toxicity, 2006. COT Statement on the Tolerable Daily Intake for Perfluorooctanoic Acid. <https://cot.food.gov.uk/sites/default/files/cot/cotstatementpfoa200902.pdf>

United States Environmental Protection Agency (USEPA), 2008. Perfluorooctanoic acid (PFOA) and fluorinated telomers. U.S. Environmental Protection Agency. May 29, 2008.  
<http://www.epa.gov/oppt/pfoa/>.

United States Environmental Protection Agency (USEPA), 2016. Health effects support document for perfluorooctane sulfonate (PFOS). EPA 822-R-14-002.

United States Environmental Protection Agency (USEPA). 2016. Drinking Water Health Advisory for Perfluorooctanoic Acid (PFOA). Office of Water (4304T). Health and Ecological Criteria Division, Washington, DC 20460. EPA Document Number: 822-R-16-005. May 2016.

United States Environmental Protection Agency (USEPA). 2016. Drinking Water Health Advisory for Perfluorooctane Sulfonate (PFOS). Office of Water (4304T). Health and Ecological Criteria Division, Washington, DC 20460. EPA Document Number: 822-R-16-005. May 2016.

United States Environmental Protection Agency (USEPA). 2017. Regional Screening Levels (RSLs) calculated using the RSL Calculator (June 2017).

Updates to Health Canada Soil Screening Values for Perfluoroalkylated Substances (PFAS). Health Canada. January 2017.

# Perfluorooctane Sulfonate (PFOA) Toxicity Profile

## What is PFOA?

Perfluorooctanoic acid (PFOA) is a completely fluorinated compound with eight carbons and a carboxyl functional group ( $C_8HF_{15}O_2$ ), and is a member of the per- and poly-fluorinated alkyl substances (PFAS) group (ATSDR 2015). PFAS, man-made compounds that do not occur naturally in the environment, are characterized by their fluorinated carbon chains where the hydrogen atoms have been replaced with fluorine atoms. The resulting carbon-fluorine bond ensures that PFAS are both highly resistant to being degraded or metabolized, and bio-accumulative, as well (ATSDR 2015).

PFOA is formed from electrochemical fluorination and telomerisation, with fluorination resulting in a variety of structural isomers including branched chain structures. As a weak acid, PFOA can exist in both the anionic and uncharged form. PFOA can be the ultimate degradation or metabolic perfluorinated compound for a number of longer chain PFAS. Along with PFOS and PFHxS, PFOA is one of the most common PFAS occurring in the environment (USEPA, 2016). Perfluoroalkyls are described as ubiquitous in the environment, having been found from the air (dust), soil, and groundwater near the facilities that use them to animals such as polar bears in the Arctic (ATSDR, 2015). As mentioned above, these compounds bioaccumulate and are resistant to breakdown via biodegradation, photo oxidation, direct photolysis, and hydrolysis.

## How is PFOA used?

Beginning in the 1940s, PFOA has been used as a component of perfluoroalkyls that have been widely used for a number of industrial applications and consumer products due to their ability to repel oil, grease, and water. PFAS have been produced as strong surfactants for the manufacturing of non-stick cookware, carpet/clothing protection treatments, paper/cardboard packing, and fire-fighting foams. In the early 2000s, the manufacturing processes utilizing PFAS started changing to either eliminate or reduce the amount of perfluoroalkyls used in their products (FSANZ, 2017). The predominant exposure of PFOA to the general human population is expected to occur through exposure to cookware, clothing, furniture and food packing with less frequent exposures occurring from contaminated drinking water and contaminated fish consumption (ATSDR, 2015).

## Fate and Transport

PFAS compounds are environmentally persistent chemicals (EPA 2008) because environmental degradation processes lack sufficient energy to break the carbon-fluorine bonds, the strongest chemical bond (3M 2000). PFAS are resistant to biodegradation, direct photolysis, atmospheric photooxidation, and hydrolysis (OECD 2002, 2007).

The low pKa values for PFAS (carboxylic and sulfonic acids) suggests that these compounds primarily exist in the environment as anions. Volatilization is expected to be negligible because anions are not volatile. However, it should be noted that PFOA (presumably in its un-ionized form) has a higher vapor pressure than many other PFAS. PFAS associated with water and soil surfaces may be transported through water volatilization or particulate distribution. PFAS strongly sorb to soils and sediment, but disperse upon contact with water, consistent with their high water solubility (ATSDR 2015).

The chemical and physical properties of PFOA are presented in Table 5.

Table 5. Chemical/physical properties of PFOA; CAS number 335-67-1

| Parameter                               | Value  | Comment   |
|---|--|---|
| Appearance <sup>c</sup>                 | White to off-white powder  |   |
| Molecular Weight <sup>b</sup>           | 414.069 g/mol  |   |
| Melting Point <sup>a, b</sup>           | 54.3°C   |   |
| Boiling Point <sup>b, c</sup>           | 188°C <sup>b</sup> ; 192.4°C <sup>c</sup>                          | FSANZ (2017) and ATSDR (2015) reported different value ranges   |
| Density <sup>b, c</sup>                 | 1.8 g/cm <sup>3</sup>  | At 20°C   |
| Water Solubility <sup>a, b, c</sup>     | 9.5 g/L  | Fresh water at 25°C   |
| Organic solvent solubility <sup>b</sup> | No data  |   |
| Log K <sub>ow</sub> <sup>b, c</sup>     | Not applicable <sup>b</sup> ; 6.30 <sup>c</sup>                    | (Estimated) in octanol-water mixture <sup>c</sup> ; FSANZ (2017) and ATSDR (2015) reported different value ranges |
| K <sub>oc</sub> <sup>b, c</sup>         | 17-230 <sup>b</sup> ; 2.06-13.8 <sup>c</sup>                       | FSANZ (2017) and ATSDR (2015) reported different value ranges   |
| pK <sub>a</sub> <sup>b</sup>            | 3.8  | Estimated   |
| Henry's Law Constant <sup>a, b</sup>    | Not available/applicable   | Because dissociated in environment  |
| Vapor Pressure <sup>b</sup>             | 0.017 mm Hg at 20°C; 0.962 mm Hg at 59.25°C                        | 20°C (extrapolated); 59.25°C (measured)   |
| Conversion Factors <sup>b</sup>         | 1 ppm = 17.21 mg/m <sup>3</sup><br>1 mg/m <sup>3</sup> = 0.058 ppm | Estimated from molecular weight   |
| Skin permeability                       | 0.000001   | Fasano et al as cited in Drew (2017)  |

a. US EPA (2016)

b. ATSDR (2015)

c. Food Standards Australia New Zealand (FSANZ), *Perfluorinated chemicals in food* (2017)

## Exposure

The main routes of exposure to PFAS are most likely to be from:

- Drinking contaminated municipal water or private well water
- Eating fish caught from contaminated surface water
- Incidental ingestion of contaminated soil or dust
- Eating food packaged in PFAS-containing materials
- Using consumer products such as non-stick cookware, stain-resistant carpeting, and water-repellant clothing.

Exposures to PFAS from consumer products is usually low, relative to exposure from contaminated drinking water. Babies born to mothers exposed to PFAS can be exposed to PFAS during pregnancy and while breast feeding, but benefits of breast feeding outweigh the risks for exposure to PFAS. PFAS can be transported across the placenta. Negligible levels of PFAS can be dermally absorbed resulting from showering or bathing with water contaminated with PFAS. Because PFAS compounds tend to be in an ionized form at environmental pH, dermal absorption is expected to be poor (ATSDR 2018).

## **Toxicokinetics**

### **Absorption**

Studies of oral absorption of PFOA in animals and gastrointestinal absorbance in the rat were greater than 95% (ATSDR, 2015). Absorption of PFOA after oral administration was approximately 90% in male mouse, rat, hamster and rabbit, and ranged from 61% to 88% in females of the same species (Hundley et al., 2006). Dermal absorption of PFOA is related to whether the compound is in its ionized state. *In vitro* dermal uptake studies of PFOA showed greater permeability of the compound at lower pH (below the pKa when PFOA would be in a neutral, unionized state) than at a pH at which PFOA would be in its anionic form (ATSDR 2015).

PFOA has a vapor pressure of 0.017 mmHg @ 20°C, and therefore could be inhaled as a volatile or inhaled with particles. ATSDR (2015) indicates that absorption of inhaled perfluorinated compounds in humans can be inferred by observations of fluorochemical production worker biomonitoring data (i.e., elevated serum perfluorinated compound levels when compared with general worker populations). PFOA was measured in dose-responsively increasing levels in plasma of rats following nose-only inhalation exposure of ammonium PFOA in an aerosol (Hinderliter *et al.* 2006).

### **Distribution**

PFOA, as other perfluorinated compounds, has a great affinity for proteins and is readily distributed to albumen in blood serum upon absorption. Distribution of PFOA in a rat study showed highest concentrations in liver, blood, and the kidney. There were species differences shown in tissue distribution of PFOA in single dose study of very small numbers of rats, mice, hamsters, and rabbits (Hundley et al., 2006). In liver, PFOA has affinity for fatty acid binding proteins (FABP), but to a lesser extent than does PFOS. FSANZ (2017) notes that PFOA has a particularly high binding affinity for human serum thyroid transport hormone (TTR).

### **Metabolism**

There is little evidence of metabolism of PFOA or any other perfluorinated compounds in experimental animals (ATSDR, 2015). This is not unexpected given the strength of the carbon-fluoride bonds in this chemical.

### **Excretion**

Urinary excretion is the primary means of elimination of PFOA in rats. PFOA is secreted into bile and thus eliminated to some extent in faeces, but ATSDR (2015) estimates that 89% of PFOA that is secreted to bile is reabsorbed and retained in the body by enterohepatic cycling.

There were sex differences in elimination of PFOA, with female rats more rapidly eliminating the PFOA to baseline levels and male rats experiencing carryover of dosages from previous exposures (Hinderliter et al., 2006). Some female-specific elimination pathways include transplacental transfer of PFOA, lactation, menstruation, and sex hormone effects on kidney function. PFOA has been shown to cross the placental barrier, and in a human study, cord blood has been shown to have approximately 50 percent of the maternal blood concentration. Lactation is another potential mechanism of elimination in mammals, as PFOA has been measured in breast milk. It is also plausible that menstruation is another mechanism of PFOA elimination (FSANZ, 2017) as the chemical partitions to the blood. There are significant species differences in PFOA elimination, with the PFOA half-life in humans being much longer than in other species. FSANZ (2017) notes that the human half-life for PFOA ranges from 2.3 to 3.8 years, while those for monkey, rats and mice are 20.8 days, 11.5 days, and 15.6 days, respectively.

## **Toxicity**

### ***Human Epidemiological Studies***

There is a large database of epidemiological studies of workers and populations near perfluorinated production facilities as well as a significant body of animal toxicity studies.

There is consensus among international regulatory agencies that the human epidemiological database for PFOA does not support the development of toxicity criteria for human health risk assessment. Health effects that have been proposed as associated with PFOA exposure include endocrine effects such as risks for thyroid disease and diabetes mellitus, increased serum lipid levels, and gestational effects (particularly low birthweight). However, FSANZ (2017) evaluated the studies and concluded that the associations were not unequivocal.

FSANZ (2017) also concludes that existing epidemiological data do not provide convincing evidence of an association between PFOA exposure and cancer.

### ***Animal Studies***

There is a large body of animal toxicity studies of PFOA in a range of species (e.g., rat, mouse, monkey). Key adverse effects that have been associated with PFOA exposure are hepatotoxicity (increased liver weight in rodents that is a result of peroxisome proliferation, a sensitive effect in rodents), various developmental effects in rodents, and potential immunological effects (e.g., decreased thymus and spleen weights, reduced response in sheep red blood cell assay).

PFOA's chronic toxicity and carcinogenicity studies in rats (Biegel et al. 2001 and Butenhoff et al. 2012, as cited in USEPA, 2016) have shown PFOA dose responsive associations with liver adenomas, testicular mass (Leydig cell adenomas), and pancreatic acinar cell tumors. As such, USEPA (2016) considers there to be a "Suggestive Evidence of Carcinogenic Potential of PFOA in humans." IARC characterized PFOA as "possibly carcinogenic to humans (Group 2B) and considered the evidence regarding mechanisms of PFOA-associated carcinogenesis to be moderate." (as cited in USEPA, 2016)

### **Health Based Guidance Values and Regulatory Values**

Table 6 provides a summary of the health-based guideline values (HBGV) presented in FSANZ (2017) that are derived from key toxicity studies of PFOA, and a summary of international values published by various international agencies.



Table 6: PFOA Health-based guideline values

| Regulatory Agency         | HBGV (TDI)             | POD                  | Critical Effect        | Species | UF  | Reference                                  |
|---------------------------|------------------------|----------------------|------------------------|---------|-----|--|
| <b>FSANZ (2017)</b>       | TDI = 160 ng/kg bw/day | 0.0049 mg/kg bw/day  | Developmental Toxicity | Mouse   | 30  | Lau et al. 2006                            |
| <b>ASTDR (2015)</b>       | MRL = 20 ng/kg/day     | 0.00154 mg/kg bw/day | Hepatotoxicity         | Monkey  | 90  | Butenhoff <i>et al.</i> 2002               |
| <b>Danish EPA (2015)</b>  | TDI = 100 ng/kg bw/day | 0.003 mg/kg bw/day   | Hepatotoxicity         | Rat     | 30  | Palazzolo 1993                             |
| <b>EFSA (2008)</b>        | TDI = 1.5 µg/kg bw/day | 0.3 mg/kg bw/day     | Hepatotoxicity         | Rodent  | 200 | Palazzolo 1993; Perkins <i>et al.</i> 2004 |
| <b>Swedish EPA (2012)</b> | DNEL = 142 ng/mL serum | 7100 ng/mL serum     | Hepatotoxicity         | Rat     | 50  | Perkins <i>et al.</i> 2004                 |
|                           | DNEL = 628 ng/mL serum | 15700 ng/mL serum    | Reproductive Toxicity  | Mouse   | 25  | Lau <i>et al.</i> 2006                     |
|                           | DNEL = 2.0 ng/mL serum | 150 ng/mL serum      | Immunotoxicity         | Mouse   | 75  | White <i>et al.</i> 2007, 2009, 2011       |
| <b>UKCOT (2006)</b>       | TDI = 1.5 µg/kg bw/day | 0.3 mg/kg bw/day     | Hepatotoxicity         | Rodent  | 200 | UKCOT (2006)                               |
| <b>USEPA (2016)</b>       | RfD = 20 ng/kg bw/day  | 0.0053 mg/kg bw/day  | Developmental Toxicity | Mouse   | 300 | Lau et al. 2006                            |

International regulatory criteria for PFOA in water and soil are provided in Tables 7 and 8, respectively

Table 7: PFOA Regulatory Criteria Summary (Water)

| Location           | Year | Standard/Guidance | Type                           | Promulgated Rule (Y/N/P/R) | Footnote | PFOA Screening Levels (µg/L) |
|--------------------|------|-------------------|--------------------------------|----------------------------|----------|------------------------------|
| <b>Australia</b>   | 2017 | Health-based      | Drinking water                 | -                          | -        | 0.56                         |
|                    | 2017 | Health-based      | Recreational water             | -                          | -        | 5.60                         |
| <b>Canada</b>      | 2016 | Screening Value   | Drinking water                 | -                          | -        | 0.20                         |
| <b>Denmark</b>     | 2015 | Health-based      | Drinking water/<br>Groundwater | -                          | f        | 0.10                         |
| <b>Germany</b>     | 2006 | Health-based      | Drinking water                 | -                          | -        | 0.30                         |
|                    | -    | -                 | Drinking water                 | -                          | g        | 0.10                         |
| <b>Italy</b>       | 2017 | Health-based      | Drinking water                 | -                          | -        | 0.50                         |
|                    | 2017 | Screening Value   | Freshwater                     | -                          | h        | 0.10                         |
| <b>Netherlands</b> | 2011 | Health-based      | Drinking water                 | -                          | -        | -                            |
|                    | 2011 | Administrative    | Drinking water                 | -                          | -        | -                            |
| <b>Sweden</b>      | 2014 | Health-based      | Drinking water                 | -                          | -        | -                            |
|                    | 2014 | Administrative    | Drinking water                 | -                          | i        | 0.09                         |
| <b>UK</b>          | 2009 | Health-based      | Drinking water                 | -                          | -        | 10                           |

| Location               | Year | Standard/<br>Guidance  | Type                           | Promulgated<br>Rule<br>(Y/N/P/R) | Footnote | PFOA<br>Screening<br>Levels<br>(µg/L) |
|------------------------|------|------------------------|--------------------------------|----------------------------------|----------|---------------------------------------|
|                        | 2009 | Admin. Level<br>1      | Drinking water                 | -                                | -        | 0.30                                  |
|                        | 2009 | Admin. Level<br>2      | Drinking water                 | -                                | -        | 10                                    |
|                        | 2009 | Admin. Level<br>3      | Drinking water                 | -                                | -        | 90                                    |
| <b>USEPA</b>           | 2016 | HA                     | Drinking water                 | N                                | a        | 0.07                                  |
|                        | 2017 | RSL <sup>b</sup>       | Groundwater                    | R                                | b        | 0.40                                  |
| Alaska (AK)            | 2016 | CL                     | Groundwater                    | Y                                | -        | 0.40                                  |
| Connecticut (CT)       | 2016 | AL                     | Groundwater                    | N                                | c        | 0.07                                  |
| Colorado (CO)          | 2017 | HA                     | Drinking water                 | N                                |          | 0.07                                  |
| Delaware (DE)          | 2016 | RL                     | Groundwater                    | N                                | a        | 0.07                                  |
|                        | 2016 | SL                     | Groundwater                    | N                                | a        | 0.07                                  |
| Iowa (IA)              | 2016 | Statewide<br>Standards | Protected<br>Groundwater       | Y                                | a        | 0.07                                  |
|                        | -    |                        | Non-protected<br>Groundwater   | Y                                | -        | 0.70                                  |
| Maine (ME)             | 2016 | Health-based<br>MEG    | Drinking water                 | N                                | a        | 0.07                                  |
|                        | 2016 | RAG                    | Groundwater                    | N                                | -        | 0.13                                  |
|                        | 2016 | -                      | Recreational water             | N                                | -        | 0.05                                  |
| Michigan (MI)          | 2015 | HNV                    | Surface water                  | Y                                | -        | 0.42                                  |
|                        | 2016 | GCC                    | Groundwater                    | P                                | -        | 0.09                                  |
| Minnesota (MN)         | 2017 | Short-term<br>HBV      | Groundwater                    | N                                | d        | 0.035                                 |
|                        | 2017 | Subchronic<br>HBV      | Groundwater                    | N                                | d        | 0.035                                 |
|                        | 2017 | Chronic HBV            | Groundwater                    | N                                | d        | 0.035                                 |
| Nevada (NV)            | 2015 | BCL                    | Drinking water                 | N                                | -        | 0.667                                 |
| New Hampshire<br>(NH)  | 2016 | AGQS                   | Groundwater                    | Y                                | a        | 0.07                                  |
| New Jersey (NJ)        | 2015 | ISGWQC                 | Groundwater                    | Y                                | -        | -                                     |
|                        | 2017 | MCL                    | Groundwater                    | P                                | -        | -                                     |
|                        | 2017 | MCL                    | Drinking water                 | P                                | -        | -                                     |
|                        | 2017 | MCL                    | Drinking water                 | Y                                | -        | 0.014                                 |
| North Carolina<br>(NC) | 2006 | IMAC                   | Groundwater                    | Y                                | -        | 2                                     |
|                        | 2017 | -                      | Drinking water                 | N                                | -        | -                                     |
| Oregon (OR)            | 2011 | IL                     | Surface water                  | Y                                | -        | 24                                    |
| Texas (TX)             | 2017 | Tier 1 PCL             | Groundwater                    | Y                                | -        | 0.29                                  |
| Vermont (VT)           | 2016 | PGWES                  | Groundwater/<br>Drinking water | Y                                | a        | 0.02                                  |

Notes:

Promulgated (Yes/No/Pending/Recommended)- Values are considered promulgated Rule if they have been finalized into law or if the table of values is referenced in supporting law. Values are considered pending if either proposed into law but not yet finalized or are currently under review. Values marked as Recommended are final recommendations from an advisory board or based on available EPA calculator tool.

- a. Applies to the individual results for PFOA and PFOS, as well as the sum of PFOA + PFOS.
- b. ERA RSLs (June 2017). Calculated by the ERA RSL calculator using EPA OW RfDs, HI of 1, residential exposure assumptions.
- c. Applies to the individual results for PFOA, PFOS, PFHpA, PFNA, and PFHxS as well as the sum of concentrations of these 5 PFAS.
- d. HBVs just published May 2017 and full promulgation of HRLS anticipated in 2018.
- f. Applies to the individual results for PFOA, PFOS, PFNA, PFBA, PFBS, PFHxS, PFHxA, PFPeA, PFHpA, PFOSA, PFDA, and 6:2 FTS as well as the sum of concentrations of these 12 PFAS.
- g. The GMH administrative guidance value of 0.1 µg/L is a composite precautionary value for both PFOA and PFOS for long term exposure in drinking water.
- h. Annual Average - Environmental Quality Standards. PFOA AA-EQS based on secondary poisoning of wildlife.
- i. Administrative value is for the sum of seven PFAS found in drinking water: PFOS, PFOA, PFHxS, PFBS, PFHpA, PFHxA, and PFPeA. PFOS is considered to be the most toxic. Water can still be used at up to 0.9 µg/L.

Table 8: PFOA Regulatory Criteria Summary (Soil)

| Location               | Year | Standard/<br>Guidance | Type   | Soil Screening<br>Level<br>PFOA (mg/kg) |
|------------------------|------|-----------------------|--|---|
| <b>Australia</b>       | 2018 | HHSV                  | Human Health Screening Value.<br>Residential with accessible soil        | 0.1                                     |
|                        |      |                       | Human Health Screening Value.<br>Residential with minimal soil<br>access | 20                                      |
|                        |      |                       | Human Health Screening Value.<br>Public open space                       | 10                                      |
|                        |      |                       | Human Health Screening Value.<br>Industrial/ Commercial                  | 50                                      |
| <b>Canada</b>          | 2017 | SSV                   | Human Health Screening   | 0.85                                    |
| <b>Denmark</b>         | 2015 | <sup>d</sup>          | Human Health Screening   | 0.4                                     |
| <b>U.S. EPA</b>        | 2017 | RSL                   | GW Protection  | 0.000172                                |
|                        | 2017 | RSL                   | Human Health Screening   | 1.26                                    |
| Alaska (AK)            | 2017 | CL                    | GW Protection  | 0.0017                                  |
|                        | 2017 | CL <sup>b</sup>       | Human Health Screening   | 1.3                                     |
| Delaware (DE)          | 2016 | GCC                   | Human Health Screening   | 16                                      |
| Michigan (MI)          | 2016 | GCC                   | GW Protection (Groundwater)  | 0.075                                   |
|                        | 2016 | GCC                   | GW Protection (Surface Water)  | 10                                      |
|                        | 2016 | GCC                   | Human Health Screening   | 6                                       |
| Minnesota (MN)         | 2016 | SRV                   | Human Health Screening   | 0.33                                    |
| Nevada (NV)            | 2017 | BCL                   | Human Health Screening   | 1.56                                    |
| New Hampshire<br>(NH)  | 2017 | DCRB                  | Human Health Screening   | 0.5                                     |
| North Carolina<br>(NC) | 2016 | PSRG                  | GW Protection  | 0.0081                                  |
|                        | 2016 | PSRG                  | Human Health Screening   |   |
| Texas (TX)             | 2017 | PCL                   | GW Protection (0.5-acre source)  | 0.003                                   |
|                        | 2017 | PCL                   | GW Protection (30-acre source)   | 0.0015                                  |
|                        | 2017 | PCL                   | Human Health Screening (0.5-<br>acre source)                             | 0.6                                     |
|                        | 2017 | PCL                   | Human Health Screening (30-<br>acre source)                              | 0.5                                     |

Notes:

- a. EPA RSLs (June 2017). Calculated by the EPA RSL calculator using EPA OW RfDs, HI of 1, residential exposure assumptions.
- b. Alaska proposed cleanup levels for Human health - most stringent value is from the "Over 40 Inch Zone".
- c. Interim screening level for contaminated sites.
- d. Applies to the individual results for PFOA, PFOS, PFNA, PFBA, PFBS, PFHxS, PFHxA, PFPxA, PFPeA, PFHpA, PFOSA, PFDA, and 6:2 FTS as well as the sum of concentrations of these 12 PFAS.

## References

- 3M, 2000. Sulfonated perfluorochemicals in the environment: Sources; dispersion, fate and effects. 3M Company submission to the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency's Administrative Record. OPPT2002-0043-0005.
- Agency for Toxic Substances and Disease Registry (ATSDR), 2015. Draft Toxicological Profile for Perfluoroalkyls. Agency for Toxic Substances and Disease Registry. August 2015.
- Agency for Toxic Substances and Disease Registry (ATSDR), 2018. Per- and Polyfluoroalkyl Substances (PFAS) and Your Health. 2018. <https://www.atsdr.cdc.gov/pfas/overview.html>
- Alaska Department of Environmental Conservation (ADEC). 2017. 18 AAC 75, Oil and Other Hazardous Substances Pollution Control. As amended through March 23, 2017. (p. 79)
- Australia Department of Defense (DOD). 2016. Defence Contamination Directive (DCD) #\* (Amendment 1) - Interim Screening Criteria. Defence Project Guidance for Per- and Poly-Fluoroalkyl Substances (PFAS). Version 3.0. September.
- Biegel LB, Hurtt ME, Frame SR, et al., 2001. Mechanisms of extrahepatic tumor induction by peroxisome proliferators in male CD rats. *Toxicol Sci* 60(1):44-55.
- Butenhoff J, Costa, G, Elcombe C, Farrar D, Hansen K, Iwai H, Jung R, Kennedy Jr. G, Lieder P, Olsen G and Thomford P, 2002. Toxicity of Ammonium Perfluorooctanoate in Male cynomolgus Monkeys after Oral Dosing for 6 Months. *Toxicol Sci* 69: 244–257
- Butenhoff JL, Chang S-C, Olsen GW and Thomford PJ, 2012. Chronic dietary toxicity and carcinogenicity study with potassium perfluorooctanesulfonate in Sprague Dawley rats. *Toxicology* 293: 1-15.
- Colorado Department of Public Health and the Environment (CDPHE). PFCs Health Advisory, 2017.
- Danish Environmental Protection Agency (Danish EPA), 2015. Perfluoroalkylated substances: PFOA, PFOS, PFOSA: Evaluation of health hazards and proposal of a health-based quality criterion for drinking water, soil and ground water. Environment project No. 1665, 2015. Danish Ministry of the Environment, Environmental Protection Agency. <http://www2.mst.dk/Udgiv/publications/2015/04/978-87-93283-01-5.pdf>
- Danish Ministry of the Environment. 2015. Perfluoroalkylated substances: PFOA, PFOS, and PFOSA. Evaluation of health hazards and proposal of a health based quality criterion for drinking water, soil and ground water. Environmental project No. 1665, 2015.
- Delaware Department of Natural Resources and Environmental Control (DNREC). 2016. Screening Level Table. Division of Waste and Hazardous Substances. Site Investigation & Restoration Section. January 1, 2013. Last Updated July 2016.
- EFSA, 2008. Perfluorooctane sulfonate (PFOS), perfluorooctanoic acid (PFOA) and their salts. Scientific Opinion of the Panel on Contaminants in the Food chain. *EFSA J* 653: 1-131
- Food Standards Australia New Zealand (FSANZ), 2017. Hazard assessment report – Perfluorooctane sulfonate (PFOS), Perfluorooctanoic acid (PFOA), Perfluorohexane sulfonate (PFHxS), April 2017
- Government of Western Australia Department of Environmental Regulation (DER). 2017. *Interim Guideline on the Assessment and Management of Perfluoroalkyl and Polyfluoroalkyl Substances (PFAS). Contaminated Sites Guidelines*. January.
- HEPA (2018) PFAS National Environmental Management Plan. Heads of EPAs Australia and New Zealand. January 2018

Hinderliter PM, DeLorme MP, Kennedy GL, 2006. Perfluorooctanoic acid: Relationship between repeated inhalation exposures and plasma PFOA concentration in the rat. *Toxicology* 222:80-85.

Hundley SG, Sarrif AM, Kennedy GL, 2006. Absorption, distribution, and excretion of ammonium perfluorooctanoate (APFO) after oral administration to various species. *Drug Chem Toxicol* 29(2):137-145.

Lau C, Thibodeaux JR, Hanson RG, Narotsky MG, Rogers JM, Lindstrom AB and Strynar MJ, 2006. Effects of Perfluorooctanoic Acid Exposure during Pregnancy in the Mouse. *Toxicol Sci* 90 510–518

Michigan Department of Environmental Quality (DEQ). 2016. Remediation and Redevelopment Division. Environmental Contamination Response Activity. Table 2. Chemical-specific Data, part 201 Generic Cleanup Criteria and Screening Levels/Part 213 Risk-based Screening Levels. Page 307. September 2016.

Minnesota Pollution Control Agency (PCA) Risk-Based Site Evaluation Guidance, Draft Soil Reference Value (SRV) Technical Support Document and SRV Spreadsheets as of September 2016.

New Hampshire Department of Environmental Services-Environmental Health Program. Direct Contact Risk-Based Soil Concentration. Perfluorooctanoic Acid. CAS #335-67-1. June 17, 2016.

New Hampshire Department of Environmental Services-Environmental Health Program. Direct Contact Risk-Based Soil Concentration. Perfluorooctane Sulfonate. CAS #1763-23-1. June 28, 2016.

North Carolina Department of Environmental Quality (DEQ). 2016. Inactive Hazardous Sites Guidance. Preliminary Soil Remediation Goals (PSRG) Table. October 2016.

Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development (OECD), 2002. Hazard assessment of perfluorooctane sulfonate (PFOS) and its salts. ENV/JM/RD(2002)17/FINAL. <http://www.oecd.org/dataoecd/23/18/2382880.pdf>.

Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development (OECD), 2007. Report of an OECD workshop on perfluorocarboxylic acids (PFCAs) and precursors. [http://www.olis.oecd.org/olis/2007doc.nsf/LinkTo/NT00002AB6/\\$FILE/JT03229256.PDF](http://www.olis.oecd.org/olis/2007doc.nsf/LinkTo/NT00002AB6/$FILE/JT03229256.PDF).

Palazzolo MJ, 1993. Thirteen-week dietary toxicity study with T-5180, ammonium perfluorooctanoate (CAS No. 3825-26-1) in male rats. Final Report. Laboratory Project Identification HWI 6329-100. Hazleton Wisconsin, Inc. U.S. Environmental Protection Agency Administrative Record 226-0449

Perkins RG, Butenhoff JL, Kennedy Jr. GL, and Palazzolo MJ, 2004. 13-Week Dietary Toxicity Study of Ammonium Perfluorooctanoate (APFO) in Male Rats. *Drug Chem Toxicol* 27 361–378

Swedish Environmental Health Protection Agency (Swedish EPA) Report 6513, 2012. Environmental and Health Risk Assessment of Perfluoroalkylated and Polyfluoroalkylated Substances (PFASs) in Sweden. <http://www.naturvardserket.se/Dokument/publikationer6400/978-91-620-6513-3.pdf?pid=3822>.

Texas Commission on Environmental Quality (TCEQ). 2017. Texas Risk Reduction Program (TRRP) Tier 1 Protective Concentration Levels (PCLs). March 31.

ToxConsult, 2016. Toxicity Profiles for the Perfluorinated compounds PFOS, PFOA, 6:2 FTS, 8:2 FTS, prepared for AECOM Australia Pty Ltd, ToxConsult document ToxCR011115-RTF, 22 July 2016.

United Kingdom Committee on Toxicity, 2006. COT Statement on the Tolerable Daily Intake for Perfluorooctanoic Acid. <https://cot.food.gov.uk/sites/default/files/cot/cotstatementpfoa200902.pdf>

United States Environmental Protection Agency (USEPA), 2008. Perfluorooctanoic acid (PFOA) and fluorinated telomers. U.S. Environmental Protection Agency. May 29, 2008.  
<http://www.epa.gov/oppt/pfoa/>.

United States Environmental Protection Agency (USEPA). 2016. Drinking Water Health Advisory for Perfluorooctanoic Acid (PFOA). Office of Water (4304T). Health and Ecological Criteria Division, Washington, DC 20460. EPA Document Number: 822-R-16-005. May 2016.

United States Environmental Protection Agency (USEPA). 2016. Drinking Water Health Advisory for Perfluorooctane Sulfonate (PFOS). Office of Water (4304T). Health and Ecological Criteria Division, Washington, DC 20460. EPA Document Number: 822-R-16-005. May 2016.

United States Environmental Protection Agency (USEPA). 2017. Regional Screening Levels (RSLs) calculated using the RSL Calculator (June 2017).

Updates to Health Canada Soil Screening Values for Perfluoroalkylated Substances (PFAS). Health Canada. January 2017.

USEPA. 2016. Health effects support document for Perfluorooctanoic Acid (PFOA). EPA 822-R-16-003. May. Available at <https://www.epa.gov/ground-water-and-drinking-water/supporting-documents-drinking-water-health-advisories-pfoa-and-pfos>.

White SS, Calafat AM, Kuklanyik Z, Villanueva L, Zehr RD, Helfant L, Strynar MJ, Lindstrom AB, Thibodeaux JR, Wood C and Fenton SE, 2007. Gestational PFOA Exposure of Mice is Associated with Altered Mammary Gland Development in Dams and Female Offspring. *Toxicol Sci* 96: 133–144

White SS, Kato K, Jiach LT, Basden BJ, Calafat AM, Hines EP, Stanko JP, Wolf CJ, Abbott BD and Fenton SE, 2009. Effects of perfluorooctanoic acid on mouse mammary gland development and differentiation resulting from crossfoster and restricted gestational exposures. *Reprod Toxicol* 27: 289–298

White SS, Stanko JP, Kato K, Calafat AM, Hines EP and Fenton SE, 2011. Gestational and Chronic Low Dose PFOA Exposures and Mammary Gland Growth and Differentiation in Three Generations of CD-1 Mice. *Environ Health Perspect* 119: 1070–1076

# Perfluorohexane Sulfonic Acid (PFHxS) Toxicity Profile

## What is PFHxS?

Perfluorohexane sulfonate acid (PFHxS) contains six carbons and a sulfonate group ( $C_6HF_{13}O_3S$ ) and is a member of the per- and poly-fluorinated alkyl substances (PFAS) group (ATSDR, 2015). PFAS, man-made compounds that do not occur naturally in the environment, are characterized by their fluorinated carbon chains where the hydrogen atoms have been replaced with fluorine atoms. The resulting carbon-fluorine bond ensures that PFAS are both highly resistant to being degraded or metabolized, and bioaccumulative and toxic (ATSDR 2015).

PFHxS is formed from electrochemical fluorination and telomerisation, with fluorination resulting in a variety of structural isomers including branched chain structures. After PFOA and PFOS, PFHxS is one of the most common PFAS occurring in the environment. Perfluoroalkyls are described as ubiquitous in the environment, having been found in the air (dust), soil, and groundwater near the facilities that use them, to animals such as polar bears in the Arctic (ATSDR, 2015). As mentioned above, these compounds bioaccumulate and are resistant to breakdown via biodegradation, photooxidation, direct photolysis, and hydrolysis.

## How is PFHxS used?

Beginning in the 1940s, PFHxS has been used as a component of perfluoroalkyls that have been widely used for many industrial applications and consumer products due to their ability to repel oil, grease, and water. PFAS have been produced as strong surfactants for the manufacturing of non-stick cookware, carpet/clothing protection treatments, paper/cardboard packing, and fire-fighting foams. In the early 2000s, the manufacturing processes utilizing PFAS started changing to either eliminate or reduce the amount of perfluoroalkyls used in their products (FSANZ, 2017). The predominant exposure of PFHxS to the general human population is expected to occur through exposure to cookware, clothing, furniture and food packing with less frequent exposures occurring from contaminated drinking water and contaminated fish consumption (ATSDR, 2015).

## Fate and Transport

PFAS compounds are environmentally persistent chemicals (EPA 2008) because environmental degradation processes lack sufficient energy to break the carbon-fluorine bonds, the strongest chemical bond (3M 2000). PFAS are resistant to biodegradation, direct photolysis, atmospheric photooxidation, and hydrolysis (OECD 2002, 2007).

The low pKa values for PFAS (carboxylic and sulfonic acids) suggest that these compounds primarily exist in the environment as anions. Volatilization is expected to be negligible because anions are not volatile. However, PFAS associated with water and soil surfaces may be transported through water volatilization or particulate distribution. PFAS strongly sorb to soils and sediment, but disperse upon contact with water, consistent with their high water solubility (ATSDR 2015).

The chemical and physical properties of PFHxS are presented in Table 9.



Table 9. Chemical/physical properties of PFHxS; CAS number 355-46-4

| Parameter                                  | Value   | Comment  |
|--|---|--|
| Appearance <sup>c</sup>                    | White crystalline powder  |  |
| Molecular Weight <sup>b</sup>              | 400.12 g/mol  |  |
| Melting Point <sup>b, c</sup>              | No data   |  |
| Boiling Point <sup>c</sup>                 | No data <sup>b</sup> ; 114.7°C <sup>c</sup>                       | FSANZ (2017) and ATSDR (2015) have reported different values |
| Density <sup>b, c</sup>                    | No data <sup>b</sup> ; 1.84 g/mL <sup>c</sup>                     | FSANZ (2017) and ATSDR (2015) have reported different values |
| Water Solubility <sup>b, c</sup>           | No data <sup>b</sup> ; "Slightly soluble" <sup>c</sup>            | FSANZ (2017) and ATSDR (2015) have reported different values |
| Organic solvent solubility <sup>b, c</sup> | No data   |  |
| Log K <sub>ow</sub> <sup>a, b</sup>        | Not available/applicable  |  |
| K <sub>oc</sub> <sup>b</sup>               | No data   |  |
| pK <sub>a</sub> <sup>b</sup>               | 0.14  | Estimated  |
| Henry's Law Constant <sup>a, b</sup>       | Not available/applicable  | Because dissociated in environment                           |
| Vapor Pressure <sup>b</sup>                | No data   |  |
| Conversion Factors <sup>b</sup>            | 1 ppm = 16.63 mg/m <sup>3</sup><br>1 mg/m <sup>3</sup> = 0.06 ppm | Estimated from molecular weight                              |

a. US EPA (2016)

b. ATSDR (2015)

c. Food Standards Australia New Zealand (FSANZ), *Perfluorinated chemicals in food* (2017)

## Exposure

The main routes of exposure to PFAS are most likely to be from:

- Drinking contaminated municipal water or private well water
- Eating fish caught from contaminated surface water
- Incidental ingestion of contaminated soil or dust
- Eating food packaged in PFAS-containing materials
- Using consumer products such as non-stick cookware, stain-resistant carpeting, and water-repellant clothing.

Exposures to PFAS from consumer products is usually low, relative to exposure from contaminated drinking water. Babies born to mothers exposed to PFAS can be exposed to PFAS during pregnancy and while breast feeding, but benefits of breast feeding outweigh the risks for exposure to PFAS. PFAS can be transported across the placenta. Negligible levels of PFAS can be dermally absorbed resulting from showering or bathing with water contaminated with PFAS. Because PFAS compounds tend to be in an ionized form at environmental pH, dermal absorption is expected to be poor (ATSDR 2018).

## Toxicokinetics

### Absorption

Limited toxicokinetic studies have been conducted in laboratory animals or humans exposed to PFHxS. The existing studies suggest that PFHxS is readily absorbed by the oral route and that 100 percent bioavailability should be assumed (Sundström *et al.* 2012, Kim *et al.* 2016). Limited dermal absorption is

expected to occur because PFHxS exists in its anionic form at environmental pH. Because PFHxS is not volatile, inhalation exposure is unlikely unless the compound is attached to particulates (FSANZ 2017). However, ATSDR (2015) indicates that absorption of inhaled perfluorinated compounds in humans can be inferred by observations of fluorochemical production worker biomonitoring data (i.e., elevated serum perfluorinated compound levels when compared with general worker populations).

### ***Distribution***

Tissue distribution has not been widely studied; however, the highest concentrations of PFHxS have been found in the liver and kidney (FSANZ 2017). PFAS cross the placenta and have also been found in breast milk at concentrations less than 10 percent of that in maternal serum (Kim *et al.* 2011). PFHxS can also cross the placenta and enter fetal circulation (ATSDR 2015).

### ***Metabolism***

PFHxS is a stable compound and, like PFOS and PFOA, does not undergo metabolic degradation (ATSDR 2015).

### ***Excretion***

There are sex and species differences in PFOS elimination. The arithmetic and geometric half-lives of serum elimination of PFHxS in humans are 8.5 years and 7.3 years, respectively (Olsen *et al.* 2007). The half-lives in monkeys are 87 to 141 days and in rats are 2 to 29 days (Sundström *et al.* 2012).

## **Toxicity**

### ***Human Epidemiological Studies***

There is consensus among international regulatory agencies that the human epidemiological database for PFHxS does not support the development of toxicity criteria for human health risk assessment. The epidemiological studies have explored the association between PFHxS and various health endpoints, but the studies are complicated by the presence of other PFAS compounds.

ATSDR (2015) deems the number of studies examining a relationship between PFHxS serum levels and cholesterol levels insufficient to be conclusive. ATSDR (2015) also deems that studies show no relationship between PFHxS serum levels and diabetes. ATSDR (2015) notes contradicting conclusions about a significant association between PFHxS serum levels and physician-diagnosed asthma. FSANZ (2017) reports a negative association between PFAS serum levels, including PFHxS, and antibody responses to vaccinations against tetanus, diphtheria, and rubella in children.

### ***Animal Studies***

FSANZ (2017) only identified one animal toxicity study for PFHxS that would be useful for developing a health-based guideline value. Butenhoff *et al.* (2009) conducted a study with male and female rats administered PFHxS by oral gavage. A significant increase in absolute and relative liver weights was observed in male rats, but not in female rats. Histopathological changes in the liver and thyroid gland were also observed. Hematologically, there was a significant increase in albumin and significant decrease in cholesterol in male rats. There was also a statistically significant decrease in body weight gain in treated rats. Despite being a reproductive study, there were no notable reproductive effects.

## **Health Based Guidance Values**

FSANZ (2017) provided a summary of international hazard reviews of PFHxS. The study conducted by the Swedish EPA was the only study that provided a basis for quantitatively deriving a Health Based Guidance Value (HBGV). The basis for the HBGV is the reproductive/developmental toxicity study in male and female rats conducted by Butenhoff *et al.* (2009). However, there was no evidence of

reproductive or developmental toxicity. The point of departure was based on hematological effects (Table 2).

While other studies on PFHxS are available from Danish EPA (2015), ATSDR (2015), and US EPA (2016a, b), general toxicological information has been deemed insufficient for the derivation of HBGVs. The HBGV derived by FSANZ for PFOS was considered to be sufficiently protective of PFHxS. On this basis, the HBGV derived for PFOS is the sum of PFOS and PFHxS where both are detected in soil or water in Australia. International regulatory criteria for PFHxS in water and soil are provided in Tables 10 and 11, respectively.

Table 10: PFHxS Regulatory Criteria Summary (Water)

| Location          | Year | Standard/<br>Guidance  | Type                           | Promulgated<br>Rule<br>(Y/N/P/R) | Foot-<br>note | PFHxS<br>Screening<br>Levels<br>(µg/L) |
|-------------------|------|------------------------|--------------------------------|----------------------------------|---------------|--|
| <b>Australia</b>  | 2017 | Health-based           | Drinking water                 | -                                | e             | 0.07                                   |
|                   | 2017 | Health-based           | Recreational water             | -                                | e             | 0.70                                   |
| <b>Canada</b>     | 2016 | Screening<br>Value     | Drinking water                 | -                                |               | 0.60                                   |
| <b>Denmark</b>    | 2015 | Health-based           | Drinking water/<br>Groundwater | -                                | f             | 0.10                                   |
| <b>Germany</b>    | 2006 | Health-based           | Drinking water                 | -                                | -             | -                                      |
|                   | -    | -                      | Drinking water                 | -                                | g             | -                                      |
| <b>Italy</b>      | 2017 | Health-based           | Drinking water                 | -                                | -             | -                                      |
|                   | 2017 | Screening<br>Value     | Freshwater                     | -                                | h             | -                                      |
| <b>Netherland</b> | 2011 | Health-based           | Drinking water                 | -                                | -             | -                                      |
|                   | 2011 | Administrative         | Drinking water                 | -                                | -             | -                                      |
| <b>Sweden</b>     | 2014 | Health-based           | Drinking water                 | -                                | -             | -                                      |
|                   | 2014 | Administrative         | Drinking water                 | -                                | i             | 0.09                                   |
| <b>UK</b>         | 2009 | Health-based           | Drinking water                 | -                                | -             | -                                      |
|                   | 2009 | Admin. Level<br>1      | Drinking water                 | -                                | -             | -                                      |
|                   | 2009 | Admin. Level<br>2      | Drinking water                 | -                                | -             | -                                      |
|                   | 2009 | Admin. Level<br>3      | Drinking water                 | -                                | -             | -                                      |
| <b>USEPA</b>      | 2016 | HA                     | Drinking water                 | N                                | a             | -                                      |
|                   | 2017 | RSL <sup>b</sup>       | Groundwater                    | R                                | b             | -                                      |
| Alaska (AK)       | 2016 | CL                     | Groundwater                    | Y                                | -             | -                                      |
| Connecticut (CT)  | 2016 | AL                     | Groundwater                    | N                                | c             | -                                      |
| Colorado (CO)     | 2017 | HA                     | Drinking water                 | N                                | -             | -                                      |
| Delaware (DE)     | 2016 | RL                     | Groundwater                    | N                                | a             | -                                      |
|                   | 2016 | SL                     | Groundwater                    | N                                | a             | -                                      |
| Iowa (IA)         | 2016 | Statewide<br>Standards | Protected<br>Groundwater       | Y                                | a             | -                                      |
|                   | -    |                        | Non-protected<br>Groundwater   | Y                                | -             | -                                      |

| Location               | Year | Standard/<br>Guidance | Type                          | Promulgated<br>Rule<br>(Y/N/P/R) | Foot-<br>note | PFHxS<br>Screening<br>Levels<br>(µg/L) |
|------------------------|------|-----------------------|-------------------------------|----------------------------------|---------------|--|
| Maine (ME)             | 2016 | Health-based<br>MEG   | Drinking water                | N                                | a             | -                                      |
|                        | 2016 | RAG                   | Groundwater                   | N                                | -             | -                                      |
|                        | 2016 |                       | Recreational water            | N                                | -             | -                                      |
| Michigan (MI)          | 2015 | HNV                   | Surface water                 | Y                                | -             | -                                      |
|                        | 2016 | GCC                   | Groundwater                   | P                                | -             | -                                      |
| Minnesota (MN)         | 2017 | Short-term<br>HBV     | Groundwater                   | N                                | d             | -                                      |
|                        | 2017 | Subchronic<br>HBV     | Groundwater                   | N                                | d             | -                                      |
|                        | 2017 | Chronic HBV           | Groundwater                   | N                                | d             | -                                      |
| Nevada (NV)            | 2015 | BCL                   | Drinking water                | N                                | -             | -                                      |
| New Hampshire<br>(NH)  | 2016 | AGQS                  | Groundwater                   | Y                                | a             | -                                      |
| New Jersey<br>(NJ)     | 2015 | ISGWQC                | Groundwater                   | Y                                | -             | -                                      |
|                        | 2017 | MCL                   | Groundwater                   | P                                | -             | -                                      |
|                        | 2017 | MCL                   | Drinking water                | P                                | -             | -                                      |
|                        | 2017 | MCL                   | Drinking water                | Y                                | -             | -                                      |
| North Carolina<br>(NC) | 2006 | IMAC                  | Groundwater                   | Y                                | -             | -                                      |
|                        | 2017 | -                     | Drinking water                | N                                | -             | -                                      |
| Oregon (OR)            | 2011 | IL                    | Surface water                 | Y                                | -             | -                                      |
| Texas (TX)             | 2017 | Tier 1 PCL            | Groundwater                   | Y                                | -             | 0.093                                  |
| Vermont (VT)           | 2016 | PGWES                 | Groundwater/Drinking<br>water | Y                                | a             | -                                      |

Notes:

Promulgated (Yes/No/Pending/Recommended)- Values are considered promulgated Rule if they have been finalized into law or if the table of values is referenced in supporting law. Values are considered pending if either proposed into law but not yet finalized or are currently under review. Values marked as Recommended are final recommendations from an advisory board or based on available EPA calculator tool.

- a. Applies to the individual results for PFOA and PFOS, as well as the sum of PFOA + PFOS.
- b. ERA RSLs (June 2017). Calculated by the ERA RSL calculator using EPA OW RfDs, HI of 1, residential exposure assumptions.
- c. Applies to the individual results for PFOA, PFOS, PFHpA, PFNA, and PFHxS as well as the sum of concentrations of these 5 PFAS.
- d. HBVs just published May 2017 and full promulgation of HRSLs anticipated in 2018.
- e. The Australian Government Department of Health values for PFOS/PfHxS are combined value when both are present.
- f. Applies to the individual results for PFOA, PFOS, PFNA, PFBA, PFBS, PFHxS, PFHxA, PFPeA, PFHpA, PFOSA, PFDA, and 6:2 FTS as well as the sum of concentrations of these 12 PFAS.
- g. The GMH administrative guidance value of 0.1 µg/L is a composite precautionary value for both PFOA and PFOS for long term exposure in drinking water.
- h. Annual Average - Environmental Quality Standards. PFOA AA-EQS based on secondary poisoning of wildlife.

i. Administrative value is for the sum of seven PFAS found in drinking water: PFOS, PFOA, PFHxS, PFBS, PFHpA, PFHxA, and PFPeA. PFOS is considered to be the most toxic. Water can still be used at up to 0.9 µg/L.

Table 4 PFHxS Regulatory Criteria Summary (Soil)

| Location            | Year | Standard/<br>Guidance | Type  | Soil Screening<br>Level<br>PFHxS (mg/kg) |
|---------------------|------|-----------------------|---|--|
| <b>Australia</b>    | 2018 | HHSL <sup>c</sup>     | Human Health Screening Value.<br>Residential with accessible soil     | 0.009                                    |
|                     |      |                       | Human Health Screening Value.<br>Residential with minimal soil access | 2  |
|                     |      |                       | Human Health Screening Value.<br>Public open space                    | 1  |
|                     |      |                       | Human Health Screening Value.<br>Industrial/ Commercial               | 20                                       |
| <b>Canada</b>       | 2017 | SSV                   | Human Health Screening  | -  |
| <b>Denmark</b>      | 2015 | <sup>d</sup>          | Human Health Screening  | 0.4                                      |
| <b>U.S. EPA</b>     | 2017 | RSL                   | GW Protection   | -  |
|                     | 2017 | RSL                   | Human Health Screening  | -  |
| Alaska (AK)         | 2017 | CL                    | GW Protection   | -  |
|                     | 2017 | CL <sup>b</sup>       | Human Health Screening  | -  |
| Delaware (DE)       | 2016 | GCC                   | Human Health Screening  | -  |
| Michigan (MI)       | 2016 | GCC                   | GW Protection (Groundwater)   | -  |
|                     | 2016 | GCC                   | GW Protection (Surface Water)   | -  |
|                     | 2016 | GCC                   | Human Health Screening  | -  |
| Minnesota (MN)      | 2016 | SRV                   | Human Health Screening  | -  |
| Nevada (NV)         | 2017 | BCL                   | Human Health Screening  | -  |
| New Hampshire (NH)  | 2017 | DCRB                  | Human Health Screening  | -  |
| North Carolina (NC) | 2016 | PSRG                  | GW Protection   | -  |
|                     | 2016 | PSRG                  | Human Health Screening  | -  |
| Texas (TX)          | 2017 | PCL                   | GW Protection (0.5-acre source)                                       | 0.002                                    |
|                     | 2017 | PCL                   | GW Protection (30-acre source)  | 0.001                                    |
|                     | 2017 | PCL                   | Human Health Screening (0.5-acre source)                              | 0.3                                      |
|                     | 2017 | PCL                   | Human Health Screening (30-acre source)                               | 0.2                                      |

Notes:

a. EPA RSLs (June 2017). Calculated by the EPA RSL calculator using EPA OW RfDs, HI of 1, residential exposure assumptions.

b. Alaska proposed cleanup levels for Human health - most stringent value is from the "Over 40 Inch Zone".

c. Health screening level for contaminated sites (HEPA,2018). Note the screening level is for PFOS+PFHxS..

d. Applies to the individual results for PFOA, PFOS, PFNA, PFBA, PFBS, PFHxS, PFHxA, PFPxA, PFPeA, PFHpA, PFOSA, PFDA, and 6:2 FTS as well as the sum of concentrations of these 12 PFAS.

## References

- 3M, 2000. Sulfonated perfluorochemicals in the environment: Sources; dispersion, fate and effects. 3M Company submission to the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency's Administrative Record. OPPT2002-0043-0005.
- Agency for Toxic Substances and Disease Registry (ATSDR), 2015. Draft Toxicological Profile for Perfluoroalkyls. Agency for Toxic Substances and Disease Registry. August 2015.
- Agency for Toxic Substances and Disease Registry (ATSDR), 2018. Per- and Polyfluoroalkyl Substances (PFAS) and Your Health. 2018. <https://www.atsdr.cdc.gov/pfas/overview.html>
- Alaska Department of Environmental Conservation (ADEC). 2017. 18 AAC 75, Oil and Other Hazardous Substances Pollution Control. As amended through March 23, 2017. (p. 79)
- Australia Department of Defense (DOD). 2016. Defence Contamination Directive (DCD) #\* (Amendment 1) - Interim Screening Criteria. Defence Project Guidance for Per- and Poly-Fluoroalkyl Substances (PFAS). Version 3.0. September.
- Butenhoff JL, Chang SC, Ehresman DJ, Chang SC, York RG. 2009. Evaluation of potential reproductive and developmental toxicity of potassium perfluorohexanesulfonate in Sprague Dawley rats. *Reprod Toxicol* 27: 331-341.
- Colorado Department of Public Health and the Environment (CDPHE). PFCs Health Advisory, 2017.
- Danish Environmental Protection Agency (Danish EPA), 2015. Perfluoroalkylated substances: PFOA, PFOS, PFOSA: Evaluation of health hazards and proposal of a health-based quality criterion for drinking water, soil and ground water. Environment project No. 1665, 2015. Danish Ministry of the Environment, Environmental Protection Agency. <http://www2.mst.dk/Udgiv/publications/2015/04/978-87-93283-01-5.pdf>
- Danish Ministry of the Environment. 2015. Perfluoroalkylated substances: PFOA, PFOS, and PFOSA. Evaluation of health hazards and proposal of a health based quality criterion for drinking water, soil and ground water. Environmental project No. 1665, 2015.
- Delaware Department of Natural Resources and Environmental Control (DNREC). 2016. Screening Level Table. Division of Waste and Hazardous Substances. Site Investigation & Restoration Section. January 1, 2013. Last Updated July 2016.
- Food Standards Australia New Zealand (FSANZ), 2017. Hazard assessment report – Perfluorooctane sulfonate (PFOS), Perfluorooctanoic acid (PFOA), Perfluorohexane sulfonate (PFHxS), April 2017
- Government of Western Australia Department of Environmental Regulation (DER). 2017. *Interim Guideline on the Assessment and Management of Perfluoroalkyl and Polyfluoroalkyl Substances (PFAS) Contaminated Sites Guidelines*. January.
- HEPA (2018) PFAS National Environmental Management Plan. Heads of EPAs Australia and New Zealand. January 2018
- Kim SJ, Heo SH, Lee DS, Hwang IG, Lee YB, and Cho HY. 2016. Gender differences in pharmacokinetics and distribution of 3 perfluoroalkyl and polyfluoroalkyl substances in rats. *Food Chem Toxicol* 97: 243-255.

Kim SK, Lee KT, Kang CS, Tao L, Kannan K, Kim KR, 2011. Distribution of perfluorochemicals between sera and milk from the same mothers and implications for prenatal and postnatal exposures. *Environ Pollut* 159(1):169-174.

Michigan Department of Environmental Quality (DEQ). 2016. Remediation and Redevelopment Division. Environmental Contamination Response Activity. Table 2. Chemical-specific Data, part 201 Generic Cleanup Criteria and Screening Levels/Part 213 Risk-based Screening Levels. Page 307. September 2016.

Minnesota Pollution Control Agency (PCA) Risk-Based Site Evaluation Guidance, Draft Soil Reference Value (SRV) Technical Support Document and SRV Spreadsheets as of September 2016.

New Hampshire Department of Environmental Services-Environmental Health Program. Direct Contact Risk-Based Soil Concentration. Perfluorooctanoic Acid. CAS #335-67-1. June 17, 2016.

New Hampshire Department of Environmental Services-Environmental Health Program. Direct Contact Risk-Based Soil Concentration. Perfluorooctane Sulfonate. CAS #1763-23-1. June 28, 2016.

North Carolina Department of Environmental Quality (DEQ). 2016. Inactive Hazardous Sites Guidance. Preliminary Soil Remediation Goals (PSRG) Table. October 2016.

Olsen GW, Burriss JM, Ehresman DJ, Froehlich JW, Seacat AM, Butenhoff JL, Zobel LR. 2007. Half-life of serum elimination of perfluorooctanesulfonate, perfluorohexanesulfonate, and perfluorooctanoate in retired fluorochemical production workers. *Environ Health Perspect* 115: 1298-305.

Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development (OECD). 2002. Hazard assessment of perfluorooctane sulfonate (PFOS) and its salts. ENV/JM/RD(2002)17/FINAL.  
<http://www.oecd.org/dataoecd/23/18/2382880.pdf>.

Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development (OECD). 2007. Report of an OECD workshop on perfluorocarboxylic acids (PFCAs) and precursors.  
[http://www.olis.oecd.org/olis/2007doc.nsf/LinkTo/NT00002AB6/\\$FILE/JT03229256.PDF](http://www.olis.oecd.org/olis/2007doc.nsf/LinkTo/NT00002AB6/$FILE/JT03229256.PDF).

Sundström M, Chang SC, Noker PE, Gorman GS, Hart JA, Ehresman DJ, Bergman A, Butenhoff JL. 2012. Comparative pharmacokinetics of perfluorohexanesulfonate (PFHxS) in rats, mice and monkeys. *Reprod Toxicol* 33: 441-451.

Swedish Environmental Health Protection Agency (Swedish EPA) Report 6513, 2012. Environmental and Health Risk Assessment of Perfluoroalkylated and Polyfluoroalkylated Substances (PFASs) in Sweden.  
<http://www.naturvardserket.se/Douments/publikationer6400/978-91-620-6513-3.pdf?pid=3822>.

Texas Commission on Environmental Quality (TCEQ). 2017. Texas Risk Reduction Program (TRRP) Tier 1 Protective Concentration Levels (PCLs). March 31.

ToxConsult, 2016. Toxicity Profiles for the Perfluorinated compounds PFOS, PFOA, 6:2 FTS, 8:2 FTS, prepared for AECOM Australia Pty Ltd, ToxConsult document ToxCR011115-RTF, 22 July 2016.

United States Environmental Protection Agency (USEPA), 2016a. Health effects support document for perfluorooctane sulfonate (PFOS). EPA 822-R-16-002.

United States Environmental Protection Agency (USEPA), 2016b. Health effects support document for perfluorooctanoic acid (PFOA). EPA 822-R-16-093.

United States Environmental Protection Agency (USEPA). 2008. Perfluorooctanoic acid (PFOA) and fluorinated telomers. U.S. Environmental Protection Agency. May 29, 2008.  
<http://www.epa.gov/oppt/pfoa/>.

United States Environmental Protection Agency (USEPA). 2016. Drinking Water Health Advisory for Perfluorooctanoic Acid (PFOA). Office of Water (4304T). Health and Ecological Criteria Division, Washington, DC 20460. EPA Document Number: 822-R-16-005. May 2016.

United States Environmental Protection Agency (USEPA). 2016. Drinking Water Health Advisory for Perfluorooctane Sulfonate (PFOS). Office of Water (4304T). Health and Ecological Criteria Division, Washington, DC 20460. EPA Document Number: 822-R-16-005. May 2016.

United States Environmental Protection Agency (USEPA). 2017. Regional Screening Levels (RSLs) calculated using the RSL Calculator (June 2017).

Updates to Health Canada Soil Screening Values for Perfluoroalkylated Substances (PFAS). Health Canada. January 2017.



## Other PFAS Compounds

A number of other PFAS compounds were detected in various media within the Investigation Area. Limited studies are available regarding the toxicity of these compounds in humans and animals. A comparison of guidelines or screening criteria developed by various international agencies, as presented in the tables for each PFAS compound, potentially provides an indication of the relative toxicities however the wide variance in some instances suggests some uncertainties although differences in criteria setting policies also influence these differences.

### 6:2 Fluorotelomer Sulfonate (6:2 FTS)

CAS: 27619-97-2

Table 12: International screening levels for 6:2 FTS in water and soil

| Standard and Guidance Values for Water |                                 |      |                              |                                |                       |
|--|---------------------------------|------|------------------------------|--------------------------------|-----------------------|
| Location                               | Regulatory Agency               | Year | Type of Standard             | Medium                         | Concentration (µg/L)  |
| <b>Denmark</b>                         | Environmental Protection Agency | 2015 | Health-based                 | Drinking Water/<br>Groundwater | 0.1 <sup>a</sup>      |
| Standard and Guidance Values for Soil  |                                 |      |                              |                                |                       |
| Location                               | Regulatory Agency               | Year | Type of Standard             | Medium                         | Concentration (mg/Kg) |
| <b>Australia</b>                       | Department of Defense           | 2016 | Interim Screening Level      | Soil                           | 60                    |
| <b>Denmark</b>                         | Environmental Protection Agency | 2015 | Human Health Screening Level | Soil                           | 0.4 <sup>b</sup>      |

<sup>a</sup>Applies to this PFAS compound and the sum of 12 other PFAS compounds

<sup>b</sup>Applies to this PFAS compound and the sum of 12 other PFAS compounds

# Perfluorobutanoic Acid (PFBA)

CAS: 375-22-4

## Health Effects

Relatively few toxicity studies have been conducted in animals exposed to PFBA by a relevant route of exposure. Short-term studies in rats administered 20 mg/kg/day PFBA for 2 weeks did not significantly affect relative liver weight, but increased absolute liver weight at 78 mg/kg/day. The increase in liver weight was accompanied by changes in enzymes involved in metabolism (ATSDR 2015). A multi-dose 5-day study conducted by 3M (2007) had no significant effect on a wide range of end points including body and organ weights, hematology, clinical chemistry, and histopathology. An intermediate duration oral development study did not affect newborn weight gain or viability. The most sensitive endpoint was a delay in eye opening in the pups at maternal doses of 35 mg/kg/day. The 28- and 90-day studies showed liver hypertrophy at 30 mg/kg/day and thyroid effects in male rats (ATSDR 2015).

## Elimination Half-life

Humans exposed to PFBA (unknown dose and route of exposure) had an average elimination half-life of 72 to 81 hours. An intravenous dose of 10 mg/kg in male and female Cynomolgus monkeys resulted in elimination half-lives of 40 and 41 hours, respectively. An intravenous dose of 30 mg/kg administered to male and female Sprague-Dawley rats resulted in elimination half-lives of 6.4 and 1.0 hours for males and females, respectively. Single oral doses of PFBA of 30 mg/kg administered to male and female Sprague-Dawley rats resulted in elimination half-lives of 9.2 and 1.8 hours, respectively. Oral studies in mice with single oral doses of 10, 30 and 100 mg/kg resulted in elimination half-lives in males ranging between 5.2 and 16.3 hours and in females ranging between 2.8 and 3.1 hours (Chang et al. 2008).

Table 13: International screening levels for PFBA in water

| Standard and Guidance Values for Water |                                     |      |                                       |                                |                           |
|--|-------------------------------------|------|---------------------------------------|--------------------------------|---------------------------|
| Location                               | Regulatory Agency                   | Year | Type of Standard                      | Medium                         | PFBA Concentration (µg/L) |
| Canada                                 | Health Canada                       | 2016 | Screening Value                       | Drinking Water                 | 30                        |
| Denmark                                | Environmental Protection Agency     | 2015 | Health-based                          | Drinking Water/<br>Groundwater | 0.1 <sup>b</sup>          |
| Italy                                  | --                                  | 2017 | Health-based                          | Drinking Water                 | 7                         |
| Italy                                  | --                                  | 2017 | Screening Value                       | Freshwater                     | 7 <sup>c</sup>            |
| <b>USA</b>                             |                                     |      |                                       |                                |                           |
| Minnesota                              | Department of Health                | 2017 | Short-term Health-based Value         | Groundwater                    | 7                         |
| Minnesota                              | Department of Health                | 2017 | Subchronic Health-based Value         | Groundwater                    | 7                         |
| Minnesota                              | Department of Health                | 2017 | Chronic Health-based Value            | Groundwater                    | 7                         |
| Texas                                  | Commission on Environmental Quality | 2017 | Tier 1 Protective Concentration Level | Groundwater                    | 71 <sup>a</sup>           |

a. Promulgated

b. Applies to this PFAS compound and the sum of 12 other PFAS compounds

c. Annual Average – Environmental Quality Standards

Table 14: International screening levels for PFBA in soil

| <b>Standard and Guidance Values for Soil</b> |                                     |             |  |                                   |
|--|-------------------------------------|-------------|--|-----------------------------------|
| <b>Location</b>                              | <b>Regulatory Agency</b>            | <b>Year</b> | <b>Type of Standard</b>                                    | <b>PFBA Concentration (mg/kg)</b> |
| <b>Denmark</b>                               | Environmental Protection Agency     | 2015        | Human Health Screening Level                               | 0.4 <sup>d</sup>                  |
| <b>USA</b>                                   |                                     |             |  |                                   |
| Minnesota                                    | Department of Health                | 2016        | Soil Reference Value                                       | 63                                |
| Texas  | Commission on Environmental Quality | 2017        | Soil Screening Level for Groundwater Protection (0.5 acre) | 0.2                               |
| Texas  | Commission on Environmental Quality | 2017        | Soil Screening Level for Groundwater Protection (30 acre)  | 0.098                             |
| Texas  | Commission on Environmental Quality | 2017        | Human Health Screening Level (0.5 acre)                    | 180                               |
| Texas  | Commission on Environmental Quality | 2017        | Human Health Screening Level (30 acre)                     | 160                               |

a. Applies to this PFAS compound and the sum of 12 other PFAS compounds

# Perfluorobutane Sulfonic Acid (PFBS)

CAS: 375-73-5

## Health Effects

In a 90-day rat study, PFBS did not result in alterations in kidney weights, but did result in hyperplasia of the medullary and papillary tubular and ductal epithelial cells in the inner medullary region at 600 mg/kg/day, but not at 200 mg/kg/day (Lieder et al. 2009a). Minimal to moderate papillary epithelia tubular/acinal hyperplasia was also observed in a 2-generation rat study at 1,000 mg/kg/day, but not at 300 mg/kg/day (Lieder et al. 2009b).

A 90-day study of PFBS in rats showed no significant alterations in motor activity or performance on functional observation tests at doses as high as 600 mg/kg/day. In a 28-day neurobehavioral rat study with PFBS, the only notable effect was a significant decrease in tail flick latency to a thermal stimulus in males from all treatment groups (100, 300 and 900 mg/kg/day) relative to controls. Gross and microscopic examination of the brain, spinal cord, and sciatic nerve do not show any significant alterations (Lieder et al. 2009a).

## Elimination Half-life

Humans exposed to PFBS (unknown dose and route of exposure) had an average elimination half-life of 665 hours (Olsen et al. 2009). An intravenous dose of 10 mg/kg in male and female Cynomolgus monkeys resulted in elimination half-lives of 15 and 8 hours, respectively, in one study (Chengelis et al. 2009), but resulted in elimination half-lives of 95.2 and 83.2 hours, respectively in another study (Olsen et al. 2009). Intravenous doses of 10 and 30 mg/kg administered to male and female Sprague-Dawley rats resulted in elimination half-lives ranging between 2.1 and 4.54 hours for males and 0.64 and 3.96 hours for females (Chengelis et al. 2009, Olsen et al. 2009). Single oral doses of PFBS of 30 mg/kg administered to male and female Sprague-Dawley rats resulted in elimination half-lives of 4.68 and 7.42 hours, respectively.

Table 15: International screening levels for PFBS in water

| Standard and Guidance Values for Water |   |      |                                       |                                |                           |
|--|---|------|---------------------------------------|--------------------------------|---------------------------|
| Location                               | Regulatory Agency   | Year | Type of Standard                      | Medium                         | PFBS Concentration (µg/L) |
| <b>Canada</b>                          | Health Canada   | 2016 | Screening Value                       | Drinking Water                 | 15                        |
| <b>Denmark</b>                         | Environmental Protection Agency                           | 2015 | Health-based                          | Drinking Water/<br>Groundwater | 0.1 <sup>b</sup>          |
| <b>Italy</b>                           | --  | 2017 | Health-based                          | Drinking Water                 | 3                         |
| <b>Italy</b>                           | --  | 2017 | Screening Value                       | Freshwater                     | 3 <sup>c</sup>            |
| <b>Sweden</b>                          | Environmental Protection Agency                           | 2014 | Administrative                        | Drinking Water                 | 0.09 <sup>d</sup>         |
| <b>USA</b>                             |   |      |                                       |                                |                           |
| <b>USA</b>                             | Environmental Protection Agency                           | 2017 | Regional Screening Level              | Groundwater                    | 401                       |
| Delaware                               | Department of Natural Resources and Environmental Control | 2016 | Reporting Level                       | Groundwater                    | 38                        |
| Minnesota                              | Department of Health                                      | 2017 | Subchronic Health-based Value         | Groundwater                    | 9 <sup>a</sup>            |
| Minnesota                              | Department of Health                                      | 2017 | Chronic Health-based Value            | Groundwater                    | 7 <sup>a</sup>            |
| Nevada                                 | Department of Environmental Protection                    | 2015 | Basic Comparison Level                | Drinking Water                 | 667                       |
| Texas                                  | Commission on Environmental Quality                       | 2017 | Tier 1 Protective Concentration Level | Groundwater                    | 34 <sup>a</sup>           |

a. Promulgated

b. Applies to this PFAS compound and the sum of 12 other PFAS compounds

c. Annual Average – Environmental Quality Standards

d. Administrative value is for the sum of seven PFAS: PFOS, PFOA, PFHxS, PFBS, PFHpA, PFHxA, and PFPeA.

Table 16: International screening levels for PFBS in soil

| Standard and Guidance Values for Soil |   |      |  |                            |
|---------------------------------------|---|------|--|----------------------------|
| Location                              | Regulatory Agency   | Year | Type of Standard   | PFBS Concentration (mg/Kg) |
| <b>Denmark</b>                        | Environmental Protection Agency                           | 2015 | Human Health Screening Level                               | 0.4 <sup>e</sup>           |
| <b>USA</b>                            |   |      |  |                            |
| <b>USA</b>                            | Environmental Protection Agency                           | 2017 | Regional Screening Level Protective of Groundwater         | 0.13                       |
| <b>USA</b>                            | Environmental Protection Agency                           | 2017 | Regional Screening Level                                   | 1260                       |
| Delaware                              | Department of Natural Resources and Environmental Control | 2016 | Human Health Soil Screening Level                          | 160                        |
| Minnesota                             | Department of Health                                      | 2016 | Soil Reference Value                                       | 30                         |
| Nevada                                | Department of Environmental Protection                    | 2017 | Basic Comparison Level                                     | 125                        |
| North Carolina                        | Department of Environmental Quality                       | 2016 | Preliminary Soil Remedial Goal                             | 320                        |
| Texas                                 | Commission on Environmental Quality                       | 2017 | Soil Screening Level for Groundwater Protection (0.5 acre) | 0.11                       |
| Texas                                 | Commission on Environmental Quality                       | 2017 | Soil Screening Level for Groundwater Protection (30 acre)  | 0.053                      |
| Texas                                 | Commission on Environmental Quality                       | 2017 | Human Health Screening Level (0.5 acre)                    | 86                         |
| Texas                                 | Commission on Environmental Quality                       | 2017 | Human Health Screening Level (30 acre)                     | 80                         |

<sup>e</sup>Applies to this PFAS compound and the sum of 12 other PFAS compounds

# Perfluorodecananoic Acid (PFDS)

CAS: 335-77-3

Table 17: International screening levels for PFDS in water

| Standard and Guidance Values for Water |                                     |      |                                       |             |                           |
|--|-------------------------------------|------|---------------------------------------|-------------|---------------------------|
| Location                               | Regulatory Agency                   | Year | Type of Standard                      | Medium      | PFDS Concentration (µg/L) |
| <b>USA</b>                             |                                     |      |                                       |             |                           |
| Texas                                  | Commission on Environmental Quality | 2017 | Tier 1 Protective Concentration Level | Groundwater | 0.29 <sup>a</sup>         |

<sup>a</sup>Promulgated

Table 18: International screening levels for PFDS in soil

| Standard and Guidance Values for Soil |                                     |      |  |  |                            |
|---------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|------|--|--|----------------------------|
| Location                              | Regulatory Agency                   | Year | Type of Standard   |  | PFDS Concentration (mg/Kg) |
| <b>USA</b>                            |                                     |      |  |  |                            |
| Texas                                 | Commission on Environmental Quality | 2017 | Soil Screening Level for Groundwater Protection (0.5 acre) |  | 0.04                       |
| Texas                                 | Commission on Environmental Quality | 2017 | Soil Screening Level for Groundwater Protection (30 acre)  |  | 0.02                       |
| Texas                                 | Commission on Environmental Quality | 2017 | Human Health Screening Level (0.5 acre)                    |  | 0.8                        |
| Texas                                 | Commission on Environmental Quality | 2017 | Human Health Screening Level (30 acre)                     |  | 0.8                        |

# Perfluoroheptanoic Acid (PFHpA)

CAS: 375-85-9

## Elimination Half-life

Intravenous doses of 17.7 mg/kg administered to male and female Wistar rats resulted in elimination half-lives of 2.4 and 1.2 hours, respectively (Ohmori et al. 2003).

Table 19: International screening levels for PFHpA in water

| Standard and Guidance Values for Water |   |      |                                       |                                |                            |
|--|---|------|---------------------------------------|--------------------------------|----------------------------|
| Location                               | Regulatory Agency                           | Year | Type of Standard                      | Medium                         | PFHpA Concentration (µg/L) |
| <b>Canada</b>                          | Health Canada                               | 2016 | Screening Value                       | Drinking Water                 | 0.2                        |
| <b>Denmark</b>                         | Environmental Protection Agency             | 2015 | Health-based                          | Drinking Water/<br>Groundwater | 0.1 <sup>b</sup>           |
| <b>Sweden</b>                          | Environmental Protection Agency             | 2014 | Administrative                        | Drinking Water                 | 0.09 <sup>c</sup>          |
| <b>USA</b>                             |   |      |                                       |                                |                            |
| Connecticut                            | Department of Public Health                 | 2016 | Action Level                          | Groundwater                    | 0.07                       |
| Colorado                               | Department of Public Health and Environment | 2017 | Health Advisory                       | Drinking Water                 | 0.07                       |
| Oregon                                 | Department of Environmental Quality         | 2011 | Initiation Level                      | Surface Water                  | 300 <sup>a</sup>           |
| Texas                                  | Commission on Environmental Quality         | 2017 | Tier 1 Protective Concentration Level | Groundwater                    | 0.56 <sup>a</sup>          |

a. Promulgated

b. Applies to this PFAS compound and the sum of 12 other PFAS compounds

c. Administrative value is for the sum of seven PFAS: PFOS, PFOA, PFHxS, PFBS, PFHpA, PFHxA, and PFPeA.

Table 20: International screening levels for PFHpA in soil

| Standard and Guidance Values for Soil |                                     |      |  |                             |
|---------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|------|--|-----------------------------|
| Location                              | Regulatory Agency                   | Year | Type of Standard   | PFHpA Concentration (mg/Kg) |
| <b>Denmark</b>                        | Environmental Protection Agency     | 2015 | Human Health Screening Level                               | 0.4 <sup>d</sup>            |
| <b>USA</b>                            |                                     |      |  |                             |
| Texas                                 | Commission on Environmental Quality | 2017 | Soil Screening Level for Groundwater Protection (0.5 acre) | 0.0046                      |
| Texas                                 | Commission on Environmental Quality | 2017 | Soil Screening Level for Groundwater Protection (30 acre)  | 0.0023                      |
| Texas                                 | Commission on Environmental Quality | 2017 | Human Health Screening Level (0.5 acre)                    | 1.5                         |
| Texas                                 | Commission on Environmental Quality | 2017 | Human Health Screening Level (30 acre)                     | 1.5                         |

a. Applies to this PFAS compound and the sum of 12 other PFAS compounds



# Perfluorohexanoic acid (PFHxA)

CAS: 307-24-4

## Elimination Half-life

An intravenous dose of 10 mg/kg in male and female Sprague-Dawley rats resulted in elimination half-lives of 1 and 0.42 hours, respectively. Single oral doses of PFHxA of 50, 150, and 300 mg/kg administered to male Sprague-Dawley rats resulted in elimination half-lives ranging between 2.2 and 2.5 hours; the same doses administered to female Sprague-Dawley rats resulted in elimination half-lives ranging between 2.1 and 2.6 hours (Chengelis et al., 2009).

Table 21: International screening levels for PFHxA in water

| Standard and Guidance Values for Water |                                     |      |                                       |                                |                            |
|--|-------------------------------------|------|---------------------------------------|--------------------------------|----------------------------|
| Location                               | Regulatory Agency                   | Year | Type of Standard                      | Medium                         | PFHxA Concentration (µg/L) |
| Canada                                 | Health Canada                       | 2016 | Screening Value                       | Drinking Water                 | 0.2                        |
| Denmark                                | Environmental Protection Agency     | 2015 | Health-based                          | Drinking Water/<br>Groundwater | 0.1                        |
| Italy                                  | --                                  | 2017 | Health-based                          | Drinking Water                 | 1                          |
| Italy                                  | --                                  | 2017 | Screening Value                       | Freshwater                     | 1 <sup>b</sup>             |
| Sweden                                 | Environmental Protection Agency     | 2014 | Administrative                        | Drinking Water                 | 0.09                       |
| <b>USA</b>                             |                                     |      |                                       |                                |                            |
| Connecticut                            | Department of Public Health         | 2016 | Private Well Action Level             | Groundwater                    | 0.07                       |
| Texas                                  | Commission on Environmental Quality | 2017 | Tier 1 Protective Concentration Level | Groundwater                    | 0.093 <sup>a</sup>         |

a. <sup>a</sup>Promulgated

b. <sup>b</sup>Annual Average – Environmental Quality Standards

Table 22: International screening levels for PFHxA in soil

| Standard and Guidance Values for Soil |                                     |      |  |                             |
|---------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|------|--|-----------------------------|
| Location                              | Regulatory Agency                   | Year | Type of Standard   | PFHxA Concentration (mg/Kg) |
| Denmark                               | Environmental Protection Agency     | 2015 | Human Health Screening Level                               | 0.4 <sup>c</sup>            |
| <b>USA</b>                            |                                     |      |  |                             |
| Texas                                 | Commission on Environmental Quality | 2017 | Soil Screening Level for Groundwater Protection (0.5 acre) | 0.00048                     |
| Texas                                 | Commission on Environmental Quality | 2017 | Soil Screening Level for Groundwater Protection (30 acre)  | 0.00024                     |
| Texas                                 | Commission on Environmental Quality | 2017 | Human Health Screening Level (0.5 acre)                    | 0.3                         |
| Texas                                 | Commission on Environmental Quality | 2017 | Human Health Screening Level (30 acre)                     | 0.3                         |

1 <sup>c</sup>Applies to this PFAS compound and the sum of 12 other PFAS compounds

# Perfluorononanoic Acid (PFNA)

CAS: 375-95-1

## Health Effects

Administration of 1 mg/kg/day PFNA for 14 days resulted in increases in serum glucose levels and decreases in high-density lipoprotein (HDL) cholesterol levels in rats. Liver effects were observed at 5 mg/kg/day. Immunologically, decreases in thymus and spleen weights were observed in rats at 3 mg/kg/day along with alterations in splenic lymphocyte phenotypes at 1 mg/kg/day, but there was no alteration in the response to a T-cell mitogen (ATSDR 2015).

## Elimination Half-life

Intravenous doses of 22.6 mg/kg PFNA administered to male and female Wistar rats resulted in elimination half-lives of 710 and 58.6 hours, respectively (Ohmori et al. 2003). Single oral doses of PFNA of 0.2 mg/kg administered to male Sprague-Dawley rats resulted in an elimination half-life of 974 hours (Benskin et al. 2009). Oral administration of PFNA over a 12-week period with a daily dose of 0.029 mg/kg/day resulted in an elimination half-life of 1,128 hours (DeSilva et al. 2009).

Table 23: International screening levels for PFNA in water

| Standard and Guidance Values for Water |  |      |                                       |                                |                           |
|--|--|------|---------------------------------------|--------------------------------|---------------------------|
| Location                               | Regulatory Agency                      | Year | Type of Standard                      | Medium                         | PFNA Concentration (µg/L) |
| Canada                                 | Health Canada                          | 2016 | Screening Value                       | Drinking Water                 | 0.2                       |
| Denmark                                | Environmental Protection Agency        | 2015 | Health-based                          | Drinking Water/<br>Groundwater | 0.1 <sup>b</sup>          |
| <b>USA</b>                             |  |      |                                       |                                |                           |
| Connecticut                            | Department of Public Health            | 2016 | Action Level                          | Groundwater                    | 0.07                      |
| New Jersey                             | Department of Environmental Protection | 2017 | Groundwater Quality Standard          | Groundwater                    | 0.01 <sup>a</sup>         |
| New Jersey                             | Drinking Water Quality Institute       | 2017 | Maximum Contaminant Level             | Drinking Water                 | 0.013 <sup>a</sup>        |
| Oregon                                 | Department of Environmental Quality    | 2011 | Initiation Level                      | Surface Water                  | 1 <sup>a</sup>            |
| Texas                                  | Commission on Environmental Quality    | 2017 | Tier 1 Protective Concentration Level | Groundwater                    | 0.29 <sup>a</sup>         |

a. <sup>a</sup>Promulgated

b. <sup>b</sup>Applies to this PFAS compound and the sum of 12 other PFAS compounds

Table 24: International screening levels for PFNA in soil

| <b>Standard and Guidance Values for Soil</b> |                                     |             |  |                                   |
|--|-------------------------------------|-------------|--|-----------------------------------|
| <b>Location</b>                              | <b>Regulatory Agency</b>            | <b>Year</b> | <b>Type of Standard</b>                                    | <b>PFNA Concentration (mg/Kg)</b> |
| <b>Denmark</b>                               | Environmental Protection Agency     | 2015        | Human Health Screening Level                               | 0.4 <sup>c</sup>                  |
| <b>USA</b>                                   |                                     |             |  |                                   |
| Texas  | Commission on Environmental Quality | 2017        | Soil Screening Level for Groundwater Protection (0.5 acre) | 0.003                             |
| Texas  | Commission on Environmental Quality | 2017        | Soil Screening Level for Groundwater Protection (30 acre)  | 0.0015                            |
| Texas  | Commission on Environmental Quality | 2017        | Human Health Screening Level (0.5 acre)                    | 0.8                               |
| Texas  | Commission on Environmental Quality | 2017        | Human Health Screening Level (30 acre)                     | 0.7                               |

a. <sup>c</sup>Applies to this PFAS compound and the sum of 12 other PFAS compounds

# Perfluoropentanoic Acid (PFPeA)

CAS: 2706-90-3

Table 25: International screening levels for PFPeA in water

| Standard and Guidance Values for Water |                                     |      |                                       |                                |                            |
|--|-------------------------------------|------|---------------------------------------|--------------------------------|----------------------------|
| Location                               | Regulatory Agency                   | Year | Type of Standard                      | Medium                         | PFPeA Concentration (µg/L) |
| Canada                                 | Health Canada                       | 2016 | Screening Value                       | Drinking Water                 | 0.2                        |
| Denmark                                | Environmental Protection Agency     | 2015 | Health-based                          | Drinking Water/<br>Groundwater | 0.1 <sup>b</sup>           |
| Italy                                  | --                                  | 2017 | Health-based                          | Drinking Water                 | 3                          |
| Italy                                  | --                                  | 2017 | Screening Value                       | Freshwater                     | 3 <sup>c</sup>             |
| Sweden                                 | Environmental Protection Agency     | 2014 | Administrative                        | Drinking Water                 | 0.09 <sup>d</sup>          |
| <b>USA</b>                             |                                     |      |                                       |                                |                            |
| Texas                                  | Commission on Environmental Quality | 2017 | Tier 1 Protective Concentration Level | Groundwater                    | 0.093 <sup>a</sup>         |

a. <sup>a</sup>Promulgated

b. <sup>b</sup>Applies to this PFAS compound and the sum of 12 other PFAS compounds

c. <sup>c</sup>Annual Average – Environmental Quality Standards

d. <sup>d</sup>Administrative value is for the sum of seven PFAS: PFOS, PFOA, PFHxS, PFBS, PFHpA, PFHxA, and PFPeA.

Table 26: International screening levels for PFPeA in soil

| Standard and Guidance Values for Soil |                                     |      |  |                             |
|---------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|------|--|-----------------------------|
| Location                              | Regulatory Agency                   | Year | Type of Standard   | PFPeA Concentration (mg/Kg) |
| Denmark                               | Environmental Protection Agency     | 2015 | Human Health Screening Level                               | 0.4 <sup>e</sup>            |
| <b>USA</b>                            |                                     |      |  |                             |
| Texas                                 | Commission on Environmental Quality | 2017 | Soil Screening Level for Groundwater Protection (0.5 acre) | 0.00032                     |
| Texas                                 | Commission on Environmental Quality | 2017 | Soil Screening Level for Groundwater Protection (30 acre)  | 0.00016                     |
| Texas                                 | Commission on Environmental Quality | 2017 | Human Health Screening Level (0.5 acre)                    | 0.3                         |
| Texas                                 | Commission on Environmental Quality | 2017 | Human Health Screening Level (30 acre)                     | 0.3                         |

a. <sup>e</sup>Applies to this PFAS compound and the sum of 12 other PFAS compounds

## REFERENCES

3M, 2007. A 5-day repeat dose oral toxicity screening study in rats with a 7-day recovery period with MTDID. St. Paul, MN. 3M Corporate Toxicology.

Agency for Toxic Substances and Disease Registry (ATSDR), 2015. Toxicology Profile for Perfluoroalkyls. U.S. Department of Health and Human Services.

Agency for Toxic Substances and Disease Registry (ATSDR), 2015. Toxicological Profile for Perfluoroalkyls. US Department of Health and Human Services.

Benskin, JP, De Silva AO, Martin LJ et al., 2009. Disposition of perfluorinated acid isomers in Sprague-Dawley rats: Part 1: Single dose. *Environ Toxicol Chem* 28(3):542-554.

Chang S, Das K, Ehresman DJ, et al. 2008. Comparative pharmacokinetics of perfluorobutyrate (PFBA) in rats, mice, monkeys, and humans and relevance to human exposure via drinking water. *Toxicol Sci* 104(1): 40-53.

Chengelis CP, Kirkpatrick JB, Myers NR, et al. 2009. Comparison of the toxicokinetic behavior of perfluorohexanoic acid (PFHxA) and nonfluorobutane-1-sulfonic acid (PFBS) in Cynomolgus monkeys and rats. *Reprod Toxicol* 27:400-406.

Chengelis CP, Kirkpatrick JB, Myers NR, et al. 2009. Comparison of the toxicokinetic behavior of perfluorohexanoic acid (PFHxA) and nonfluorobutane-1-sulfonic acid (PFBS) in Cynomolgus monkeys and rats. *Reprod Toxicol* 27:400-406.

DeSilva AO, Benskin JP, Martin LJ, et al. 2009. Disposition of perfluorinated acid isomers in Sprague-Dawley rats: Part 2: Subchronic dose. *Environ Toxicol Chem* 28(3): 555-567.

Ohmori K, Kudo N, Katayama K, et al. 2003. Comparison of the toxicokinetics between perfluorocarboxylic acids with different carbon chain length. *Toxicology* 184: 135-140.

Ohmori K, Kudo N, Katayama K., et al. 2003. Comparison of the toxicokinetics between perfluorocarboxylic acids with different carbon chain length. *Toxicology* 184: 135-140.

Olsen, GW, Butenhoff JL, Zobel LR, 2009. Perfluoroalkyl chemicals and human fetal development: An epidemiologic review with clinical and toxicological perspectives. *Reprod Toxicol* 27(304):212-230.